

# *Spearhead*

£1.50

No. 430

DECEMBER 2004

ISSN 1364-7105



## **A YOUNG LIFE THROWN AWAY FOR TONY BLAIR**

*Private Paul Lowe  
(Black Watch).  
Killed in Iraq,  
November 4th 2004*



# WHAT WE THINK

## Young lives thrown away

Private Paul Lowe of the Black Watch was just 18. He didn't believe British troops should be in Iraq, but he had to go. His regiment is one rich in proud tradition but it has been marked down by the Blair Government for the scrapheap some time in the new year. But notwithstanding this death sentence, it was convenient to send the Black Watch into the Iraq danger zone near Baghdad so that poodle Tony could earn a pat on the head from his master, President George W. Bush.

It wasn't long after the regiment reached the area that three of its men were killed by a suicide car bomber. Private Lowe was one of them. The others were Sergeant Stuart Gray (31) and Private Scott McArdle (22). Scott was due to become a first-time father in January.

Paul Lowe's family were incensed. His tearful younger brother Craig, also serving in the Black Watch, said afterwards: "We think Bush is an a...hole for starting a war over nothing." And: "that's what Paul thought."

## Nationalist comment

**BEST OF BRITISH**  
Private Lowe is seen here (on right) with two Black Watch mates. To the Blair Government these fine young men are just cannon fodder in the dirty game of globalist politics.



Meanwhile the uncle of Scott McArdle accused Bush and Blair of sending the men into a death trap. He said: "The boys were prepared to defend their country but not to go and fight other countries' wars."

Many of the German leaders at Nuremberg were sentenced to hanging, some on grounds that they had waged "an aggressive war." We have a feeling that if such a fate were one day to befall Tony Blair there would be a great many people in this country who would be uncorking the bottles in grand celebration. Yet the probability is that Tone, when he finally steps down from the premiership that he has disgraced, will be making millions from the sale of his memoirs, speeches on the American lecture circuit and sundry lucrative deals lined up by shady business spivs for him and his abominable wife. Such is justice in the world we presently inhabit.

## Another sell-out

As predicted as likely two months ago, the surrender of the Rover motor company to a Chinese takeover has just been confirmed. A 70 per cent share in the sole remaining British-owned volume car manufacturer is being sold to the Shanghai Automotive Industry Corporation for £1 billion.

This is a national humiliation which appears to have raised barely a murmur of concern at the level of government, where belief in the global economy seems to be so total that the question of who owns the dwindling number of factories in Britain is academic.

Rover, it will be recalled, was previously sold to the German BMW company but was bought back again for a nominal £10 by a group of four Midlands businessmen after that sell-out failed to improve its fortunes. This year its losses are expected to run to £100 million – a disaster not unconnected with the fact that it has a paltry three per cent share of UK car sales.

Just what is wrong with the British motor industry? The explanation is not simple but is compounded of many factors. We in this magazine have consistently maintained that government in Britain should operate a policy of protection of its key manufacturing sectors, including motors, as well as ruling that they should be British-owned. This, we are the first to recognise, will not do away with all the problems. It will merely be a start towards solving them. Poor performance in comparison with our competitors, in particular the Germans and French who run high-wage production plants, must be tackled by numerous initiatives aimed at raising standards. But the first requirement is a public and political *will* to bring about such regeneration. We see not the slightest sign of this at Westminster wherever we look among the mainstream parties: all seem resigned to the idea that the de-industrialisation of the United Kingdom is something perhaps inevitable on account of worldwide economic trends, and that survival – if there is to be any at all –

## Spearhead

**No. 430 DECEMBER 2004**  
**PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT**  
**Editor: John Tyndall**

*Spearhead* exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and should not be taken as representing the views of the Editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

*Spearhead* welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse, without giving reasons, to publish any advertisement submitted. The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are of around 1,000 to 1,850 words. *Spearhead* is currently produced using Serif PagePlus 6 software. Articles sent on 3.5" computer disk will be welcome if compatible. To ensure acceptance, however, they should also be sent in printed or typewritten format. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or in any other way amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles in other publications should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and *Spearhead* and to include in the acknowledgement the magazine's full address.



should be left to 'market forces'.

Plainly, this attitude has only led to yet further decline. It should be a matter of interest that the Shanghai company that is buying up Rover is owned by the Chinese Government. Not much sign of market forces at work there!

## The casino project: the 'J' factor

National outrage greeted the announcement a few weeks ago of a government plan to induce various big-time 'American' and other overseas gambling companies to set up a network of casinos up and down Britain by way of a new Gaming Bill, which had its second reading last month. In an earlier draft of the plan, a number of around 40 was mooted.

Furious opposition was soon encountered, and a great deal of it came from parliamentary backbenchers in Tony Blair's own party. There should be no surprise. The project was an appalling one. It would turn many British cities and towns into replicas of Las Vegas. It would encourage a huge growth in gambling addiction – to be added to those addictions with which we are already plagued. It would attract a new gangster element into the country, if the American experience is anything to go by. It would bring in more prostitution to the affected areas. With the Bill's proposals to remove restrictions on players and the amounts of money they could bring into the casinos, new opportunities for money-laundering rackets would be provided.

Some investigative journalism unearthed suspicious contacts between New Labour and some of the Czars of the gaming industry. It was reported that Blair's Middle Eastern envoy Lord Levy had met with Lloyd Nathan, the European head of MGM Mirage, one of the biggest companies stateside and one that was coveting a share of the coming action. The top man in MGM Mirage is Steve Wynn, who despite his name belongs to the same tribe as Mr. Nathan.

It has transpired that Tony Blair's chief 'fixer' in the moves to bring the mainly US-based gambling giants into this country is one Gideon Hoffman, who coincidentally (or perhaps not) is also ethnically related to Mr. Nathan and Lord Levy.

Further research into the 'American' gambling industry, easily managed 'online', reveals a list of hundreds of names which look as if they come from the roll call of a synagogue. In fact, Las Vegas was mainly put on the map by Jewish gambling magnates, with major roles played by gangsters Meyer Lansky and Bugsy Siegel, though Italian 'firms' did have some input as well.

The latest is that, as a result of huge nationwide protest, the large casinos our

Government is planning to licence over here have been reduced in number to eight – for the time being at least. But this is a space that must most certainly be carefully scrutinised by Blair-watchers. The story so far reveals again how, in whatever area we look, our Prime Minister seems to get himself tied up to an extraordinary degree with the members of a certain ethnic community. What a pity that, for focus on this, people have to rely on small-circulation *samizdat* journals like *Spearhead* instead of being able to read about it all in the national press!

## Mr. Bush's special people

In October, President Bush signed an extraordinary document which, in effect, made official what everyone has for a long time known to be fact. This was what is known as the 'Global Anti-Semitism Bill'. It sets up a new office in Washington, manned by Jews but financed by the American taxpayer, which will specialise in spying on so-called 'anti-Semites' all over the world, including the USA itself. By 'anti-Semites', of course, is meant just about everyone whom the Jews label as such, quite regardless of whether they give any reason for people to suppose that they intend to do Jews any harm. They include, needless to say, all those who question whether Jewish power in countries like the United States and Britain is beneficial to the host peoples, and whether Jewish influence has played an undue part in propelling our countries into the Iraq war and subsequent occupation.

One of the projects undertaken by this office will be the spreading of pro-Jewish propaganda in schools around the world. Apparently, these people are not satisfied that there is enough of this already!

One of the consequences of this Bill, and the policy it signifies, is that in future the United States will assess its relations with all other countries in accordance with their treatment of their Jewish citizens.

The Bill was passed into law by the House of Representatives on the 18th October. It had already been passed by the Senate.

Are you shocked at this latest development? If you are, be careful. They'll be watching you!

## Sticky fingers in the former USSR

While we are on the subject of the above, it is worth mentioning that Tony's transatlantic friend and boss has been taking time from his meddling all over the Middle East to focus attention on two former Soviet republics, Ukraine and Belarus. What goes on there, apparently, is considered a legitimate concern of Mr. Bush.

The President does not approve of

Belarus President Aleksandr Lukashenko, who recently won a referendum extending his 10-year rule. According to a report in *The Times* of the 22nd October, Bush has proclaimed:-

"At a time when freedom is advancing all over the world, Aleksandr Lukashenko and his Government are turning Belarus into a régime of oppression in the heart of Europe. There is no place in a Europe whole and free for a régime of this kind."

Leaving aside the President's vagueness over geography, this would seem to any rational person an outrageous interference in the affairs of a country which poses no threat whatever to the United States. But Bushy won't leave it there. Not only is he giving backing to the main opposition to Lukashenko in Belarus but he is also poking his fingers into an internal conflict in Ukraine, where the incumbent Prime Minister, Viktor Yanukovych, is being challenged for the presidency by a rival, Viktor Yushchenko. Mr. Bush wants Yushchenko to unseat Yanukovych for numerous reasons, but mainly because the former's policies are more friendly towards the New World Order. He is seen as a pro-western free-marketeer and wants the country to join NATO, whereas Yanukovych is against this and has leanings towards closer ties with Russia.

We wait to see now whether our Tony will take it upon himself to follow his leader and start to become involved in the politics of these two countries the other side of Europe. Taking form as a guide, we think this seems distinctly likely.

## British media hails the end of the world

At an international football match between Spain and England played in Madrid last month, some sections of the Spanish crowd made imitation monkey noises in greeting to black players wearing England shirts. We do not condone this kind of behaviour, but neither do we condone the appearance of black footballers in England teams. Perhaps all the Spanish fans were doing was indicating their derision at the fact that while their own team was chosen from Spanish nationals their opponents did not comprise eleven Englishmen.

But when all is said and done the incident was a small, albeit regrettable, part of the day's news. The Spanish media treated it as such and did not have a lot to say about it.

The British media, by contrast, went ballistic. For the next few days we witnessed a series of reports, commentaries and discussions about the matter conducted in an atmosphere of mounting hysteria. Have we lost our sense of balance as a nation? Where is the old stiff upper lip?



# 40 THIS MONTH!

WE may perhaps be allowed a feeling of some satisfaction with the appearance of this the December 2004 issue, for it marks our 40th birthday! The first issue of *Spearhead* published in the present magazine format came out in December 1964. This latest issue is No. 430 – not bad for consistency and staying power!


Of course, the staying power is not ours alone. Our loyal supporters have also shown an ability to last the course. Some of them have been with us almost back to the beginning. We will be everlastingly in their debt.

One strong reason why it gives us special pleasure to reach this milestone is that *Spearhead*, throughout its 40-year history, has been the object of continual attacks and attempts to put it out of business. We expect these from the known political opposition, but they have been particularly unpleasant when they have come from people who are supposed to be on our own side. Over the past three years certain so-called political 'allies' have done their best to prevent our magazine circulating and being read. They have failed – though obviously our readers would be greater in number but for their efforts. Where there have been shortfalls in sales income due to organised boycotts, the generosity of our supporters, with their donations, has filled the


gaps. Where we have needed alternative sources of advertising, technology has come to our aid by means of the Internet. For every person who regularly buys copies, many, many more are able to read, or read about, *Spearhead* 'online'. Here we owe another debt: to those with computer skills greater than those of our editor who have kept this online service going. Their help has been incalculable.

*Spearhead* has consistently espoused the ideals of British Racial Nationalism. This does not mean that we fail to recognise the need to present these ideals in ways that are politically prudent. *Tactical* manoeuvring will always be necessary in the world of real politics – as distinct from those of ideological narcissism and self-indulgence, hobbyism and escapism. But what we have always opposed is compromise on *core principles*. It is not honest. It does not win respect. And in the end it doesn't even work. Where are the nationalist journals which have employed this practice over the 40 years in which we have kept going? Can any of them come anywhere near our record? Most of them have gone into oblivion a long time ago. Any that remain would be pushed to survive as independent publications in their own right.


*We close in promising that we intend to be here to celebrate our half-century in ten years time!*



December 1964



January 1975 (no issue published for December 1974)



December 1984



December 1994



# The things they're saying

The latest plan to bring chaos to our schools is not an accident but part of a deliberate scheme designed to wreck formal, old-fashioned education.

This in turn is part of a strategy that is changing our country out of all recognition.

The confused Tomlinson Report means that thousands of children will emerge from 11 years of formal schooling clutching ornate 'diplomas' that they cannot read.

For our education reformers this ignorance is a necessary by-product of their main purpose, at which they have been working for 50 years or more.

That is to abolish traditional ideas of authority based on patriotism and Christianity, to dismantle the gentle English class system and replace it with a far more ruthless division of society, based solely on money and power, to wipe out knowledge of our history and literature, and to destroy the old conservative élite that got in the way of what the anti-British types call progress.

**PETER HITCHENS**  
*The Mail on Sunday* (24.10.04)

Democracy too is under attack, with Belgium's largest political party, the Vlaams Blok, banned last week. Attracting a quarter of the vote in the Flemish region, the anti-immigration separatist party was disbanded because it fell foul of anti-racism laws; unable to beat it in public debate or at the polls, its left-wing opponents killed it in the supreme court...

The Left's loss of faith in liberal democracy is a result of its naïve belief in human nature. The creators of multi-cultural societies believe they can abolish tribal feelings of belonging based on shared values, history and culture. Just as communism could only be upheld by totalitarianism, so multi-culturalism is being upheld by curbs on free speech and democracy.

**ANTHONY BROWNE**  
*The Spectator* (20.11.04)

It is interesting how nowadays the two worlds of pop music and politics are closely intertwined. Witness the fact that Blair was one among many politicians who felt the need to pay his personal tribute to John Peel last week.

It has become almost obligatory for politicians of all parties to demonstrate their keen interest in pop groups and their songs as a way of showing how modern and generally in touch they are with the nation's youth.

What would happen to any politician who dared to confess that he or she hated pop music and liked listening to Mozart's string quartets? They would be ridiculed, instantly sidelined and confined to the dustbin of

## EXTRACTS FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA

history.

The civilisation which Blair and Bush are fighting to preserve has nothing to do with such élitist and fuddy-duddy behaviour.

**RICHARD INGRAMS**  
*The Observer* (31.10.04)

The white working class male used to have the Labour Party to fight his corner. Those days, however, are long gone. The left thinks him politically uncouth; the right thinks him socially uncouth. Sometimes I wish the boys of the Black Watch and the Green Howards and the Welsh Guards would wise up. It is all still much as Kipling had it:-

*O it's Tommy this, an' Tommy that, an'  
"Tommy go away";  
But it's "Thank you Mr. Atkins", when the  
band begins to play.*

**ROD LIDDLE**  
*The Sunday Times* (14.11.04)

The problem is complicated further by the burden of some financial arrangements entered into when Tony Blair purchased his new Connaught Square house. He is reckoned to have incurred a £2.5 million debt, massive by any standards. It is not known who lent him the money or on what terms. Whatever the truth, it is clear that the United States holds the key to paying it off. The Prime Minister has apparently dismissed the notion of an instant memoir. But the remunerative US lecture circuit, where his wife Cherie has already dipped her toe in the water, is another matter.

One of the overlooked aspects of the Bush family is the way it looks after clients and retainers. One manifestation of this by no means unattractive syndrome is George Bush Senior's patronage of John Major, who has been made a millionaire many times over thanks to his senior role in the Carlyle Group, the hugely well-connected equity group which on Tuesday announced a staggering \$6.6 billion cash payout to investors.

John Major's American role means that he is rarely seen in this country these days, and it is far from unreasonable to speculate that the former British Prime Minister's failure to air his private reservations about the Iraq war is linked to his intimate connection with the Bush family. There is no reason why, one day, Tony Blair should not expect a similar reward.

**PETER OBORNE**  
*The Spectator* (23.10.04)

The re-election of George Bush is as grim an indictment of democracy as its enemies could wish. Out of an American population

of nearly 300 million, out of an almost unimaginably large pool of political, intellectual and organisational talent, the democratic process has run its course and re-elected a man whose stupidity is of almost clinical proportions.

And this from a nation which sees its manifest destiny as bringing democracy to a benighted world. Many in less happy lands will muse that if they want a leader of no talent, who is there by virtue of being the son of a previous ruler, who reaches power through a combination of money and the backing of religious fanatics – why, they have that already!

Why hanker after democracy – or should it be moronocracy?

**ANDREW ALEXANDER**  
*Daily Mail* (5.11.04)

A state based on a religious identity; paranoid of its global neighbours; armed to the teeth; inclined to unilateral decisions and which opts out of international treaties? Remind you of anywhere? The nation described above is certainly Israel. The question is to what degree has the US come to fit that same identikit image?

It was the intellectual Edward Said who first suggested that the relationship between America and Israel was not just a one-way funding cheque from Washington to Tel Aviv. Said raised the possibility that US strategists had spent so long defending the Israeli nation and its policies that they had crossed the line from advocates to disciples. His theory looks more plausible since the re-election of George W. Bush.

**ALEX BELL**  
*Glasgow Herald* (8.11.04)

Given the recent interest in the centenary of this 'understanding', it is worthwhile wondering what the *Entente Cordiale* cost this country and whether it would have been better to have followed Joseph Chamberlain's and Richard Haldane's advice at the beginning of the last century and have had an *entente* with Germany, our ally then of over 100 years.

Given the French-German entente now, the present situation is somewhat ironic, to put it mildly.

**THE HON. JUSTICE PETER SMITH**  
*Letter in The Times* (27.11.03)

When a politician tells you that he feels a 'commitment' to do something or other, start counting your spoons. His commitment will not require him actually to do anything...

**ROD LIDDLE**  
*The Spectator* (6.11.04)



# Are these people trying to wreck the BNP?

AS I write these words I can imagine groans from some readers: "Oh no! Not more attacks, not more internal conflict!" Let me assure these people that I would far rather not be writing about such matters but be dealing with constructive politics. I had in fact planned to write an article addressing a topical national issue for this month when certain developments in the British National Party overtook things and called for urgent exposure. Developments of this kind become possible precisely because so many people prefer to turn their eyes away from them and wish away their consequences, thus leaving the field free for those of disruptive intent to get on with their business unhindered.

In July of this year I announced my intention to throw down a challenge to Nick Griffin for the BNP leadership. However, some time before this both his supporters and mine knew that this was on the cards. There has been a bitter internal conflict in the party ever since Mr. Griffin and his followers began it in the middle-to-late 1990s. It has continued to this day, fuelled by numerous policy changes which have been amply documented and commented upon in these columns.

To go back to the beginning, Nick Griffin began scheming to take over the leadership of the BNP almost from the moment he joined it in 1996. He was perfectly entitled to pursue this ambition: the party's constitution provides for it. He began travelling around the country, often at his own invitation, speaking at meetings clearly with the intention of building up his following. I knew this was happening but I made no attempt to stop him; he had the right to speak in this way just as the branches had the right to host him as a speaker. We were not then a totalitarian party in which people were gagged. I point these things out here because they relate importantly to things that will follow.

Mr. Griffin succeeded in his takeover bid and I accepted it, albeit with grave private reservations. The members had delivered their verdict, and I acknowledged this in an article in the October 1999 issue of this journal, calling on everyone to work together for the party's future.

## Rift soon appears

It was less than a year before serious rifts began to occur in the party, beginning with a crisis of confidence among local leaders and

members in the West Midlands over Mr. Griffin's handling of party monies. The then party treasurer also became involved in this dispute. Mr. Griffin's solution to this was summarily to expel both the treasurer and the two leading activists in the region (a

**JOHN TYNDALL**  
**surveys an orgy**  
**of political self-**  
**destruction**

husband-and-wife team) who had been at the centre of the conflict. All three had been keen Griffin supporters not long previously.

An emergency meeting was called in the Midlands in support of the couple, Sharron and Steve Edwards, and Mr. Griffin was forced to reinstate them. However, their disillusionment led them to leave the party not long afterwards – a sad loss.

I deliberately avoided any personal



**NICK GRIFFIN**

**Expulsions are his answer to everything**

involvement in this dispute because I did not want to muddy the waters, though my sympathies were near to 100 per cent with those people who had been so disgustingly treated by Mr. Griffin.

I will not rake over the many other issues of division that have arisen since, for these have been adequately reported and analysed

in these columns month to month. They have boiled down to this: many who had supported Mr. Griffin five years ago turned against him (and his partner in the leadership duo Tony Lecomber). Some of these, together with those who had never had confidence in the two in the first place, made representations to me that I should stand again against Mr. Griffin to retake the party leadership. I was willing to do so but believed that the timing was important and that the ground should be thoroughly prepared before the challenge was made. I am still of this view.

I have long believed that the Griffin leadership is backed by certain external forces hostile to the BNP who seek to keep it in place for their own purposes, and that to this end they are providing certain forms of clandestine support which help to entrench those in control. I make no assertions as to whether this is being done with the knowledge of Mr. Griffin and his associates; on that matter I could not back up any such claim with concrete proof. It may well be that this support is being accepted quite innocently, but I am nevertheless convinced that it is being given. I cannot, for instance, fathom how otherwise the money could be found for the party's monstrous wage bill. All this renders a counter-takeover a much less simple matter than some of my own supporters realise.

## Character assassination

Central to the takeover in the first place, and to the continued entrenchment of the Griffin crowd, has been a deliberate campaign of character assassination of myself. The most prominent spokesman in this campaign has been Mr. Lecomber, though there is no doubt that it has the support of his chief. It is the oldest rule of warfare: identify the lead man in the enemy camp, and take him out. It was done at Hastings, and it was done at Trafalgar – though I am not so presumptuous as to draw any further parallels! The rule is applicable in conflicts great and small, and it holds good whatever the importance or stature of the targeted person.

Against this character assassination I have two weapons of defence. One is my pen and the other my voice. Mr. Griffin quickly sought to neutralise the first weapon when he ordered a ban on the circulation of *Spearhead* through the party, ruling that it may not be displayed or sold at party meetings. This



meant that the many newcomers to the party would have little opportunity of hearing my and my allies' case through reading the magazine. I had imposed no such ban on the Griffin lobby's house journal *Patriot* in the run-up to the leadership contest in 1999, though its intent was obvious to all with eyes to see and brains to think with.

The attempt to gag me as a speaker first revealed itself when I was scheduled to appear at a meeting of Burnley BNP in August 2002. Up to that time I had never used any party platform to attack my opponents in the party nor indeed engage in any internally controversial talk. Despite this, a tremendous amount of arm-twisting was applied against the Burnley organiser to cancel my invitation. It failed. The organiser, Steve Smith, stood firm.

And what confronted me when I arrived at Burnley for the meeting? A mob of 'Anti-Nazi' League demonstrators! They had never been seen at a Burnley BNP meeting before and never have since. Need any more be said?

### Gagging campaign spreads

At that Burnley meeting I won a number of new friends and supporters as a result of my speech; this clearly incensed Messrs. Griffin and Lecomber and they intensified their efforts to silence me around the country – sometimes succeeding, sometimes not. They also began a campaign to discourage organisers from inviting Richard Edmonds to speak, though he is one of the party's very best speakers and invariably boosts meeting turnouts and collections. His crime has been, of course, that he is known to be a friend and ally of mine!

We related in an earlier issue the case of a meeting at Clitheroe (Lancs.) to which I was invited last April. The party leadership, through its local regional organiser, ordered that I be kept away. The branch organiser would not be intimidated but reconstituted the meeting as just a 'nationalist' meeting and not an official BNP one. As a result, his branch, one of the best in the North of England, was 'deregistered' for several months and became effectively non-operational. The pretext for this was some small book-keeping irregularity by the treasurer but few were deceived as to the real cause. The branch has only recently been registered again. Several months were wasted in which that branch could have been actively promoting the party in the area in preparation for coming elections.

Shortly afterwards, Richard Edmonds was scheduled to speak at a meeting of the party's Rochdale branch. Frantic pressures were exerted to get his invitation cancelled – solely because of his association with me. The meeting went ahead, with RE as a speaker; but Mr. Griffin subsequently had a tantrum, and the organiser was threatened that the branch would be closed down if the

'crime' were repeated.

Meanwhile, down south, one of the best London branches has been immobilised for some months. This is the Hillingdon branch, whose organiser is Ian Edward, another strong character who is not prepared to be anyone's lackey. Mr. Edward had allowed the presence at one of his meetings of a person on the party's 'proscribed' list. To those with a sense of proportion this was a small matter which could easily have been sorted out privately and quietly by means of a few words down the telephone, but no! Ian was immediately dismissed as organiser by Tony Lecomber, presumably on the nod from Mr. Griffin. Without his presence, the branch became non-functional as a BNP unit because no one among the members, loyal to him to the last man and woman, was prepared to take his place.

### Organiser's 'crime'

In fact, everyone knows that the presence of the proscribed person at the meeting was just a convenient excuse for action against Ian Edward. A far bigger crime where he is concerned is his very cordial relations with Richard Edmonds and myself, with his regular invitations to us to speak. He is clearly on the 'hit list' and must go! No matter that Hillingdon BNP has achieved some excellent local government election results and is needed to make an important contribution to meeting the party's target of 100 candidates in the next general election, expected next year. A whole season of productive local activity has been sacrificed on the altar of Mr. Lecomber's paranoia.

Previously to this, another excellent local organiser had become the target of a vendetta. This was John Cope, of the East Hertfordshire branch previously associated with the legendary Dave Bruce, who sadly died in 1998 – well before his time. John Cope made a very brave effort to keep the East Herts. BNP going after Dave's departure, and managed to do so admirably. The branch held some excellent meetings, at some of which I spoke. It continued a regular output of activity and eventually scored a triumph by getting a councillor, Ramon Johns, elected in 2003, due in no small measure to John Cope's tireless work.

John, however, never made any secret about his unease over changes in party leadership and policy, and he became marked down for the 'chop'. At a meeting in East London in March 2003 he spoke from the floor at question time severely criticising the recent glowing report in the party newspaper on a BNP election candidate who had spoken fondly of his black son-in-law and his two mixed-race grandchildren. The point here was not the candidate's misfortune in having a race-mixing daughter and having to make the best of the situation; the point was the party newspaper editor's decision to write it up as if it were something to celebrate!

Shortly after this meeting John fell foul of Tony Lecomber over another matter, and almost immediately he was dismissed as branch organiser despite his excellent record. The East Herts. BNP has managed to survive, but it would still be much the stronger with John Cope's presence.

No doubt, Mr. Lecomber will have his own version of these conflicts, but at the end of the day the running of political parties is about personnel management: handling members the right way and making use of their services and talents, not alienating them and driving them off. The number of excellent activists who have become lost to the BNP because they were perceived to have 'incorrect' opinions about certain matters is incalculable.

### Victimisation of good activist

Others have stayed but have been marginalised through falling foul of either Mr. Lecomber, Mr. Griffin or both. There is Keith Axon, who did more than anyone else to build up the BNP in the West Midlands during its first 16 years. Utterly dedicated to the nationalist cause, he is right now willing to assist in party activities in his home city of Birmingham but has been given the cold shoulder by the local leadership, no doubt on orders from higher up. His crime also is that he is a friend and supporter of mine. There are Steve Smith and Simon Bennett, the two main architects of the earlier election successes of the party in Burnley (though others more recently have contributed in generous measure). They now have no roles in the running of the branch because they were squeezed out by Mr. Griffin's people. They too got on the wrong side of the party leadership and had to go. Both are men of first-class drive and ability, which could still be at the disposal of the party had matters involving them been handled sensibly.

I know of many more who hold Messrs. Griffin and/or Lecomber in absolute contempt but have been careful to avoid being overly outspoken so as not to risk dismissal and the consequent damage to their branches. They subordinate their personal feelings to the greater good of the party. If only everyone else were like them!

### Running scared

Earlier this year it became clear to me that Messrs. Griffin and Lecomber were determined to tighten the ban on my speaking at party meetings around the country. They were anticipating my making a bid to recover the party leadership, and their policy very obviously was to shut me up. Though, with one exception in London in March 2003, I had never used meetings to criticise the party leadership but spoken only about general national issues, the fact I am fairly capable on the platform and, as in the case of Richard Edmonds, attract good audiences and boost

*Contd. overleaf*



## ARE THESE PEOPLE TRYING TO WRECK THE B.N.P.?

(Contd. from prev. page)

collections obviously scared them – if nothing else because I was thus able to undo the negative image of myself that they had contrived to create. I just had to be stopped, and so also did Richard Edmonds.

The reader may perhaps contrast this with the completely free reign given by me to Nick Griffin back in the 1990s to go around the country campaigning to build up his support for the leadership challenge that he was obviously planning. One of the key words Mr. Griffin now likes to use in the promotion of the party is 'democracy'. As our experience should tell us, the loudest advocates of 'democracy' are often its worst defilers.

I decided that something had to be done about this speaking ban on RE and myself, and so I contacted a solicitor for advice. The advice was that as long as the two of us were *bona fide* party members the leadership had no right whatsoever to prevent us speaking, and that should either of us defy the ban and be punished (by expulsion perhaps?) a civil court would have no hesitation in overruling this. This was communicated to Mr. Griffin in a solicitor's letter, the most relevant parts of which were reproduced in our October issue.

### The answer: suspension!

The letter, which would have been received by Mr. Griffin a short time earlier, was acted upon immediately. If as a *bona fide* party member I could not be prevented speaking, that could quickly be remedied by suspending me from membership! In a letter dated the 26th September from Mr. Lecomber, I was notified of that suspension and informed that I would be facing a whole new packet of disciplinary charges. The details of the charges were sent to me last month. I shall not comment on them here save to say that they were thirteen – yes, *thirteen!* – in number, and ten of them related to things I was alleged to have done during or before February this year. So why was I not charged over these matters a long time previously? The reader will have little difficulty in working out the answer. It was to provide a means to prevent me standing against Mr. Griffin in a coming leadership election but now also to silence me as a speaker. Highly convenient!

It will be recalled that barely more than a year previously I was charged and expelled over other alleged offences. I took the matter to law and won. Mr. Griffin was forced into an out-of-court settlement under which my expulsion was annulled and I was reinstated. The alternative was for the matter to go to court and much higher costs be incurred. I knew it was only a matter of time before they would try to 'get' me again. In fact, Mr.

Lecomber lost no opportunity to tell people so.

On receiving and studying the latest batch of charges, I wondered how on earth they thought they would get away with these – knowing that, if expelled, I would again seek a review of the case in the courts and they would be faced with yet another expensive and time-consuming legal battle at no benefit whatever to the BNP.

### Constitutional goalposts moved

The answer was provided in a general members' bulletin that I found in my letter box early last month. The bulletin announced a large number of constitutional amendments. The most significant of these read as follows:-

**Section 6, Discipline:** Insert a new Sub-section 2: "Once the constitutionally defined internal disciplinary mechanisms of the party as outlined in this Section are exhausted in regard to the disciplinary procedures and proceedings, then the decision of the disciplinary tribunal is final and binding on the member concerned. As such, members (including those who have been disciplined or expelled) **legally affirm and agree that they will not seek any external legal (or non-legal) review of any disciplinary tribunal decision or its procedures** [*JT emphasis*]. They also agree and affirm that they will accept the decision of any disciplinary tribunal as final and binding."

It is blatantly obvious that this new clause is being inserted to prevent me seeking legal redress against any expulsion that may result from the hearing of the new charges against me, which has been fixed for the 4th December.


Mr. Griffin knows very well that if I am expelled on his latest charges I shall be forced to do the same as last year and bring the matter to law. His latest constitutional manoeuvre is clearly intended to prevent this.

My own case aside, the move is also obviously aimed to give the party leadership licence in the future to kick out any other member upon Mr. Griffin's personal whim if that member happens to meet with his disapproval. All that would be needed would be another kangaroo court appointed by Mr. Griffin, which would be comprised of yes-men who could be relied upon to deliver the 'correct' verdict, against which the victim would have no legal redress!

What is somewhat amusing in the quoted constitutional amendment is the passage which says that members... "will legally affirm and agree..." In what way agree? Perhaps when sitting in a disused warehouse tied to a chair, with electrodes being held close to their most sensitive parts!

### New clause legal non-starter

I quickly made contact with a solicitor to obtain his opinion of the legality of this latest constitutional clause. He confirmed my



TONY LECOMBER

This picture of the BNP's self-appointed grand inquisitor was taken at a meeting in 1998, when John Tyndall was still party leader. It will be noticed that an advertisement for *Patriot* magazine is displayed beside him. No hindrance was placed on the sale of *Patriot* at party gatherings, despite the knowledge that it had been launched in support of the Griffin take-over bid.

opinion that legally it was a non-starter, and would not survive scrutiny by the courts. So just what is Mr. Griffin's purpose in introducing it? Has the man gone completely off his head? Does he want another legal battle, almost certain to be more expensive than the last one – which incidentally cost the BNP over £6,000 and the cause of nationalism as a whole over £12,000? Or is there some other agenda here, not obvious at the time of writing? Coming events will perhaps provide a clue.

One thing that is clear is that during the months pending a court verdict overruling my expected expulsion I will be deprived of my rights as a party member and thus not able to attend party functions at which I can make myself known to other members and solicit their support. Highly convenient to Mr. Griffin!

So, being under suspension I have to find other means of reaching party members with the spoken word. A series of meetings have been set up to take place in Bradford, Hillingdon (North West London), Lincoln



and North Buckinghamshire, all of these promoted under auspices other than those of the BNP. The Bradford meeting was reported in last month's issue, the Hillingdon one elsewhere in this issue. The Lincoln and North Bucks. meetings will be taking place as the new issue is at the printers, and readers will be informed of them subsequently. More meetings will follow in the new year.

I have given most of the past 22 years of my life to the BNP. I deeply resent having to travel around the country speaking at meetings which should be BNP ones but cannot be because of these ridiculous prohibitions. And I think I speak for others who have put and are putting much hard work into organising and promoting the meetings, which they too wish they were doing on behalf of the BNP and for the benefit of the BNP.

### Lecomber rampage continues

And here again the maniacal rampage of Tony Lecomber continues. He is now trying to run Hillingdon organiser Ian Edward, one of the BNP's best, out of the party – the latest pretext being his role in promoting the Hillingdon meeting on the 7th November. Ian is now being threatened over the alleged use of the party's local membership list to circularise people about that meeting, the Data Protection Act being invoked.

Meanwhile Mr. Lecomber is threatening the organiser of the Lincoln meeting, Mick

Holmes, in a similar way. Mick has told me that our 'Group Development and Regulation Officer' is busily ringing members in the Lincolnshire and East Midlands areas urging them to boycott the meeting, making the absurd allegation that it is an 'anti-BNP' event. As these words are written, we do not know what will be the results of his sabotage campaign.

And the cause of all of this? There are differences within our party over matters of leadership and policy. The proper way to resolve these differences is to have a leadership election conducted on a level playing field and according to the democratic traditions of this country, and that means that the respective candidates in that election should have the facility and freedom to address party members to present their cases. All this was accorded to Nick Griffin when he challenged me in 1999. Why can the same rules not operate again?

### Party being crippled

Before ending, we should step back for a moment and look at the broader picture. The party has set itself the target of contesting 100 parliamentary seats in the next general election. This is an ambitious undertaking but one which *can* be achieved if all are pulling together and all the party's skills and resources are focused on the objective.

Yet what do we have? We have a major party official – probably its effective number-two man (someone would even say number-one!) – running around like a bull in a china

shop antagonising almost everybody in sight, imposing bans, suspensions and prohibitions in the manner of a Lord High Executioner; closing down, or causing to be closed down, important branches whose input into the coming general election is a vital one. We have first-class nationalists, able to make great contributions to the party's growth, sidelined in petty vendettas because they do not genuflect to the party leadership in the way it is thought they should. We have yet more good nationalists who have been exiled from the BNP for the crime of dissent, or have exiled themselves because they cannot stand the stink within. And now we have a re-run of the vicious and hysterical action to railroad me out of the party of which I was the leading founder, an action that ended in fiasco last year and cost the party money that could have been spent on deposits for 12 parliamentary election candidates or heaven knows how many thousands of pieces of valuable promotional literature. Not only is this re-run likely to cost yet more precious money but it, together with all the other witch hunts currently being conducted, is costing priceless man-hours of time on many people's part that could otherwise be given to constructive projects for party development.

One has to ask of these people: do they seriously want the BNP to succeed and prosper? Or do they want to wreck it? Whether the latter is their intention or not, it is certainly going to be the consequence – if they are not stopped very soon!

## JOHN TYNDALL relates...

## A TALE FROM THE WELSH HILLS

During the summer of this year I received an approach from one Dominic Carman, an author and son of the late and better-known George Carman, high-powered barrister. The younger Mr. Carman informed me that he was engaged in writing a biography of Nick Griffin, and asked if he could visit me to obtain some background information on his subject.

My first impulse was to decline. The Carman book would presumably be reviewed and sold through the mainstream press and publishing network, so that, in effect, I would be talking to a representative of the mainstream media. I have made it a policy never to talk to the media about my differences with Mr. Griffin nor indeed about any contentious BNP internal matters – a policy which has not always been followed by the Griffin crowd.

But then I had second thoughts. What if Mr. Griffin fed this author all kinds of balderdash about me (which on past record was distinctly likely) and I passed up the opportunity to reply?

I told Mr. Carman that I would speak to him but within strict parameters, specifying my concerns.

He came to my home and we spoke mainly about the history of the nationalist movement in Britain during the time of my involvement with it – a subject I had no objection to discussing.

But then Mr. Carman raised a matter which made my wife and me burst out laughing. He told me that during the course of his conversations with Nick Griffin he had asked him: "What first made you decide you wanted to be BNP leader?"

Our Nick replied that he had never had any thoughts about bidding for the leadership of the party – until an occasion when I was at his home and I got drunk. That, he said, made up his mind. He clearly had a duty to rescue the BNP from Tyndall the boozier!

All this will come as startling news to my friends, who know me to be one who has always imbibed in great moderation. So what actually happened to spark this idea in Mr. Griffin's mind?

Sometime back in the mid-1990s I was travelling up the M6 to an appointment in the North of England. Nick Griffin had recently started to become involved with the BNP and he and I were just beginning to become acquainted. There were matters which we had been intending to discuss when the opportunity arose, and he invited me to break my journey and drive over to be his guest at his home in Mid-Wales, which I did.

We sat up until fairly late and relaxed over a bottle of whisky. By the time we retired, neither of us would probably have passed a police breathalysing test, but nor was either of us by any stretch of imagination 'drunk'. We had in fact consumed just about the same amount each.

Putting two and two together, I then realised that this was probably the origin of one of the smears being spread around about me by Griffin and Tony Lecomber in the run-up to the BNP leadership election in 1999.

It all reminds one of the old journalists' aphorism: Why let the truth get in the way of a good story?



# BLAIR MUST GO!

CONNOISSEURS of the appalling may have noticed that the National Television Award show held in the Albert Hall featured a new pair of meretricious celebrities, namely Tony Blair and Gordon Brown. Both of them fitted in rather well with an assembled audience which in the main seemed to consist of homosexual comedians, insincere actors and whorish starlets. This was not our dear Prime Minister's first foray into show business; readers may remember a puzzling episode a few years ago when, on the floor of the House of Commons, Blair called for the release of a fictional character, Deirdre of *Coronation Street*, from jail.

But often the simplest explanation is the right one, and perhaps Blair's humanitarian concern for a non-existent person had something to do with the £500,000 donation made by Alex Bernstein, the former chairman of Granada, to the infamous Blair private office fund. What nicer *quid pro quo* could there be than for the recipient of this half a million quid to show his appreciation by acting as a publicity agent for Granada's flagship programme?

While the chattering classes of the Guardian and similar organs are eager to point out that television has been a major factor in societal decay and coarsening in Bhutan, they never get round to suggesting that it has performed a similar function in this country over many years.

The whole gathering had something of the air of a Masque of the Red Death, a celebration of TV illusion in a decaying inner London of squalid commercial deals and drive-by shootings. Ironical too that the Albert Hall, once the home of worthy events, was modelled after a building in Dresden, an original obliterated in the hail of bombs that destroyed that great European city of true culture. Well, the bombs and shells have now moved on to Baghdad and Fallujah, and perhaps history will record some sort of linkage: a seamless and endless campaign of destruction against societies that have higher values than the pursuit of the almighty dollar.

## BEES DEFENDING THEIR HIVES

It is a long, long way from media award nights and tennis games with Ariel Sharon's telephone buddy Michael Levy to the desert camps in Iraq, where British troops struggle with inadequate equipment. Or perhaps, on reflection, it is no great distance at all. Black

## IAN BUCKLEY looks at some of the consequences of the Prime Minister's treason

Watch commander Lt. Col. James Cowan recently commented that: "We expect every lunatic terrorist from miles around to descend on us like bees to honey." Sadly, this officer doesn't have the good sense that General Fuller or Admiral Domville had.

Let us state it plain. The British Empire has gone – thanks largely to American pressure plus Churchill – and Iraq wasn't even really part of the Empire when the latter was at its zenith. To continue the colonel's analogy, the 'bees' would not descend if they didn't have their 'hive' disturbed. All, of course, in the pursuit of no possible British interest whatever.

Dr. William Pierce was generally regarded as an extremist among extremists, but what on earth was extreme about his

multi-million-dollar Mossad and CIA disinformation campaign since around 1988, the accusations and claims of which were often near-fantastical or simply impossible.

## FRUITS OF AFGHAN WAR

It is the same in Afghanistan, where the overthrow of the vilified Taliban – instigated by Bush and Blair – has resulted in a forty-fold increase in opium production. No, that figure is not a misprint. Of course, when the opium turns into heroin on the mean streets of Britain, that increase will be translated into yet more muggings and more burglaries. A safer world, Mr. Blair? We don't think so. This idiot presides over one of the most dysfunctional societies on the globe, but wants to go around 'liberating' Afghans, Iraqis, *et cetera*. Family life in Britain is collapsing, divorce rampant, old people prisoners in their homes, jobs being exported by the thousand to India; no-one with any sense ventures into our cities after dark, and this bizarre charlatan can think of nothing better than to fret about Bin Laden.

How right are two or more of my American friends, who unfailingly make reference to someone called Bliar! How low



## LEADER AGAINST TERRORISM?

The sham of Premier Tony's global posture as a doughty opponent of violence and murder can be seen in this picture of him at an all-smiles meeting with IRA supporters and one-time operatives Gerry Adams and Martin McGuinness.

statement that the (First) Gulf War wasn't waged for American interests but for Israeli ones? Pierce went on to make the important point – often forgotten – that all Washington politicians knew this to be the case, but only Pat Buchanan had the guts to state it openly. And isn't it just like that here, too?

Blair claims that 'stability' has to be restored in Iraq, but the plain fact is that Iraq was stable under the rule of its much-maligned former leader. That leader, Saddam Hussein, had been on the receiving end of a

and repulsive can you get, to betray your country's true interests in exchange for 'prestige' and the trappings of office!

The New World Order hirelings always offer the noxious justification that the course they are following is rational and inevitable, with a little sloganising about security or a changed world. In reality they are the same as J.R.R. Tolkien's Saruman and Grima Wormtongue, betrayers of their people, subtly but surely turning us into slaves of the Dark Lord Sauron. Is it fanciful



to note that the learned and perceptive author of *The Lord of the Rings* was only a few letters out in the name he gave the puppet-master?

## TRUTH FROM TEHERAN

As we all know, one 'leader' of the West has returned to power, presumably to extend further the war for Greater Israel in the Middle East. No better summation of the US election can be found than these comments from the *Teheran Times*, a truthful rendition of reality a world away from the drivel that passes for journalism here:-

"A pox on both their houses' is the common sentiment among the masses of eligible voters, who view the election as a sham controlled by corporate interests. There is very little difference between the Republicans and the Democrats... The two-party system is clearly not working in the USA. Third parties are marginalised. Ralph Nader was not even allowed into the presidential debates, probably because both major party candidates feared he might bring up some real issues.

"This year the situation is even more bizarre, since both John Kerry and George W. Bush are members of the Skull and Bones Society, a Yale students' group that has been connected to the old-boy network since the nineteenth century. Many commentators have called this the Skull *versus* Bones election – a win-win situation for the élites and a lose-lose situation for the masses... The Islamic world does not expect to see much change, whoever wins. Both Kerry and Bush are pro- Zionist."

It is almost unbelievable that inhabitants of the 'rust belt' of Ohio should have voted for Bush, when we remember that the draft is almost certainly coming back. Assuredly, young men and woman may very soon be dying for the literally Messianic ambitions of the neocons and US Likud. Frankly, it's as if the turkeys were voting for an early Christmas.

In the face of such stupidity, Admiral Domville's view – that the globalist conspirators might almost be admired for their energy and dedication, were not their aims so inhuman – would seem to be correct.

Let us also remember that the 9/11 attacks now look more like the Reichstag fire than the Reichstag fire itself, if you get my drift. All of this waste of life and of billions of pounds for *that!* We must be mad to put up with such blatant, unbelievable evil.

It goes without saying that a nationalist government would never send in troops to die to curry favour with a Zionist-dominated US régime. Never, ever would we allow the flower of Scotland to be sacrificed for no better end than Ariel Sharon's ambition and paranoia.

# OBERAMMERGAU 2000

AN idyllic hamlet in the Bavarian heartland, Oberammergau, has for decades been a raging epicentre of controversy. Once every ten years, the Catholic villagers perform a Passion Play in Christ's name, commemorating a covenant that they made with God centuries ago.

The origins of the play date back to the Thirty Years' War, when Protestants and Catholics slaughtered one another in the name of Christianity, and Germany was ravaged by plague. The pious peasants of Oberammergau prayed to God and promised that if He would deliver them from this pestilence, once every ten years forever after they would perform a play honouring Christ. And the honest, noble folk of this quaint village have kept that covenant.

The controversy began in 1966, rooted in charges brought by American Jewish organizations claiming that the Passion play was 'Judeophobic', that it vilified and demonised Jews, blaming them for Christ's death. The Jewish organisations urged a boycott of the play, demanding that changes be made in its text: especially upsetting was the word 'bloodcurse'. Full-page advertisements condemning the production were taken in newspapers worldwide. Letters were written to German Chancellor Ludwig Erhard and to Pope Paul VI requesting that they intercede to stop the play; but to no avail. The boycott failed and the play went on, with no changes.

But 34 years later, Jewish rancour towards the play is more vitriolic than ever. Determined this year either to dismantle the production or to force changes that would render the festival unrecognisable, the Jews have unleashed their attack dog, Eli Wiesel, the pit-bull of American Jewry.

Wiesel is a pseudo-savant who teaches 'Holocaust' studies at Boston University. A dour, unkempt-looking man of gargantuan hubris and a burning loathing of all Gentiles, especially Germans, he has grown rich roaming the world bemoaning his years in Auschwitz, even though no evidence can be found to prove that he was ever there! In addition to his professor's salary, his income is augmented from 'Holocaust' lectures, commanding fees of \$30,000 (£16,500) a time, and from the many books he has authored (really little more than self-aggrandising treatises). Wiesel's influence is global and his venom is lethal. He is a master manipulator with a bagful of tricks.

## FRANK SWEENEY relates how 'certain people' tried to sabotage an ancient folk tradition


In a concerted effort to destroy, or at least derail, this year's Passion Play (2000), Wiesel has gathered together an odd-ball co-mingling of sycophants: rabbis from the Simon Wiesenthal Centre in Los Angeles; the Jewish writer James Shapiro, who has written extensively about past Passion

Plays, deeming them "hair-raisingly anti-Semitic"; a coalition of American Catholics who never tire of apologising for imagined misdeeds against Jews; and debased Protestants led by the whining, grovelling, left-leaning Calvinist theologian Reinhold Niebuhr. These zealots, Wiesel's pathetic collection of toadies, are at the ready to storm into Oberammergau with a single-minded endeavour: harass and intimidate the villagers and prevent the peasants from performing this decade's dramaturgy.

But as of this writing, the stoic denizens of Oberammergau refuse to be frightened by Wiesel and his minions. They stand firm and clean like the legendary German oak. The little village is likened to a Christianity that exists nowhere else in the world.

It is vital that the Passion Play be continued at Oberammergau; for never has the [REDACTED] (censored) been so convincingly portrayed as in this production of what happened in the time of the Romans.

**Frank Abbott Sweeney, PhD.,  
who wrote this article in 2000,  
resides in Idaho, U.S.A.**



**WIESENTHAL  
Tried to wreck festival**



# Recognising 'The Evil'

**WAR has been declared on the white Anglo-Saxon race by what I shall simply refer to as 'The Evil'. This Evil has identified the mechanisms of power and taken them over. This Evil is prevalent covertly in the law, the media and in local, national and international government.**

The first and most important thing to understand from the very outset is that this Evil cares for nothing and no one but itself, and simply exploits morality, ethnic minorities, children, women and even animals *ad hoc* to aid it in its agenda of destroying White Western European Civilization. Its primary goal is, by stealth and deceit, deliberately to create societal chaos, from which it will rise and reign supreme. If this Evil is not stopped in its tracks, and very soon, there can be little doubt that it will achieve its aim of one-world government, over which it will have total and absolute control. The evil proceeds as follows:-

First, it identifies that aspect of society, or that part of the human character, which it wishes to degrade or eradicate, and then it invents a 'moral' imperative to justify the degradation or eradication. For example, there is the state rule that abortion for children without parental knowledge is justified under the deliberately invented moral cloak of client confidentiality! The real reasons for this policy are: (a) to separate the child from its parent by imposing itself as a surrogate guardian or confidant (in accordance with its desire to fragment and finally destroy all familial ties); and (b) to eliminate the prospect of offspring, which the Evil is committed to eradicating, by persuading the child to have an abortion. This whole scenario is created by the Evil in its forced imposition of sex-education lessons in schools, which it knows will result, for our children, in a more spiritually degraded environment. So you see how by stealth the Evil has accomplished...

- 1) The degradation of the child via sex-education.
- 2) The elimination of millions of new-born babies.
- 3) The separation of the parent from the child, the Evil having taken on the role of surrogate parent.

Second, and in its quest for a monopoly of control, power and force, the Evil attempts to ban every individual's capacity to use physical force by outlawing

it. The banning of the corrective smack, for example (while Jews and Muslims are allowed to take knives to newly born babies!) has nothing to do with the welfare of the child or with 'human rights'. On the contrary, children who mature without discipline are being deliberately abused. Again, the idea is that only the state should be able to

the state has of course accomplished its primary task of breaking up and dissolving the partnership of husband and wife through its creation of a detrimental social and economic environment.

Fourth, the Evil has invented the concept of 'human rights', which exist only to protect those whom the Evil considers useful to its agenda and

**People will argue over what name should be given to it, but few can be in doubt that it exists. STEVEN SMITH elaborates.**

exert power and force, and what better excuse for this than to have a society reared on indiscipline!

## Breaking up of families

Third, every conceivable unifying aspect of our society must be dismantled. Families must be broken up.

which enable it to engineer and construct a society over which it will have total control. Rights are for the most part used to elevate and empower those who are no threat to the Evil, whilst simultaneously demoting and disempowering the white Anglo-Saxon male, who historically has prevented the



***Children are being degraded by sex-education, which only encourages greater juvenile promiscuity. Then when unwanted offspring follow, many choose to compound the evil by abortion (i.e. child-murder).***

Mothers must be encouraged to go to work and, encouraged by tax incentives, persuaded to leave their children in the hands of the state to facilitate the grooming of the future multi-racial commissars. Integral to the deliberate destruction of the family unit and the natural white male dominance of our society is the dilution of the natural white male influence upon offspring boys. This the Evil accomplishes by denying contact with them by their fathers, once

Evil from having the power it has historically always craved. 'Rights' in general, and 'human rights' specifically, are abstract notions whose legal standing is in the hands of those who mean to destroy us. 'Rights' are dispensed by this Evil to those sections of society whom it wishes to exploit and elevate in order to bring that society down to its knees.

Fifth, the Evil is relatively small in terms of numbers and so cannot fight us



on an open physical level. It must therefore strike and cause our destruction by a thousand cuts. The Evil must pare us down little by little until finally we have become the non-thinking, non-competing, non-risk-taking, weak, dependent, mongrelised, subservient androids envisaged. This means trimming, bit by inexorable bit, our capacity to develop as whole human beings who in the fullness of time might be able to fight back. The sale of our school playing fields, the banning of innocent school-yard games, the cutting of swimming lessons etc.: all serve to eliminate traditional character-building activities that are impediments to the agenda of the Evil.

### Fraudulent arguments

Destroying us on the basis of deliberately constructed fraudulent arguments is a further method by which the Evil beats us into submission: bogus arguments which are of course aided by the media in their fiction that they are legitimate. An example is that "racism is a bad thing"! How can racism be a bad thing, we should say, when all it amounts to is racial self-preservation? The fraud surrounding 'racism' is part of the Evil's arsenal of reverse psychology which makes racial preservation a sin!

**If racial self-preservation is right, racism cannot be wrong!**

In fighting this Evil we must acknowledge that right and wrong are a matter of conscience, not of the law. To denounce this truth the political left would have had to condone not only the imprisonment of their party mascot Nelson Mandela but they would also have had to abide by the electoral results that brought Adolf Hitler to power! There can be no rules when one is fighting evil, and those who claim the opposite are either naïve or understand perfectly well that rules disadvantage only the weaker side, i.e. the side with less might! The destruction of Hiroshima and Dresden during the Second World War are examples where those with the greater power have been dismissive of rules. No one with sufficient power has been able to bring them to account for their crimes!

Integral to the annihilation of our existence is the destruction of our countryside. This is facilitated by the Evil by means of a number of measures, the most recent of which is the proposed banning of fox-hunting. The Evil is exposed here for its fake morality and pretence of 'caring' for the fox when one sees that the Evil will not ban the

butchering and bleeding to death of animals via the Muslim and Jewish methods of ritual slaughter – practices condemned even by the RSPCA – and disregards the duplicitous and inevitable suffering, firstly by the poisoning of the fox and secondly by the killing of hundreds of hunting hounds.

This Evil is unrelenting, and is attacking us by means of a very simple process of legitimising and promoting those things which are detrimental to our survival, whilst simultaneously demonising and attacking those things which make us strong and able. It is damaging our children in the classrooms by making exams easier to pass, thus reducing their potential for self-development. The Evil has changed the system of marking in schools so that examiners now assess a named, rather than a numbered, exam paper. This enables it to increase artificially the number of passes of those it considers useful to aiding its agenda.

In its quest to destroy our 'high-minded' universities it exploits comprehensive schools with bogus moral arguments attempting to set one side off against the other while it glories in the resulting chaos from which it will inevitably prosper.

### Trojan Horse approach

In formulating its assault on our civilization the Evil has used the Greek strategy of the Trojan horse to infiltrate our historic institutions, and having gained access it is now busying itself with destroying them. Who can doubt that the mighty walls of the church, the education system, the police and even the BBC have been breached, and are being, little by little, deliberately reduced to a shadow of their former selves, leaving behind them a less moral, law-abiding and educated society. Make no mistake, all of this is being engineered, and that is why, recognising that a war is being waged against us, we must begin to fight back – and soon!

Of each and every policy and each and every utterance this Evil makes we

must ask ourselves the question: "How might this help it to destroy our race and nation?" The answer is there, buried in their Newspeak (read 1984), of which language we must begin to become distrustful.

Communist teachers, communist clergy, and a generally communised and deliberately expanded public sector all assist the Evil in its agenda. It will destroy us by privatising local council housing stocks, which are then deliberately put into the hands of ethnic minorities, resulting in plummeting house prices and subtle ethnic-cleansing. It will destroy us by its defence and promotion of abortion, mass third-world immigration and race-mixing. It will degrade with a deliberate hands-off approach to many and all things detrimental to our existence, like drug dealing and usage.

The Evil tells us that it is wrong, illegal and even immoral to discriminate (historically referred to as "choosing on the basis of your free will"! ) on the basis of skin colour, yet reverses this rule for itself via its recourse to the cleverly constructed fraud of 'positive discrimination'.

No area of our national life is untouched. The Evil even denigrates and belittles our history and roots in its attempts to weaken our resolve in fighting to protect who and what we are.

The draining of state pension funds, the closing of old folks' homes and the cutting of our armed forces are nothing more than staging posts for the Evil's end game of one-world government. How much less evil is Blair and his Government than Pol Pot or Josef Stalin – when one considers that as a direct consequence of their support for enforced coloured immigration and globalisation we have murders, drug deaths and social fragmentation on a scale never before seen in the history of our islands. The degradation and eventual elimination of our race and nation are this Government's goals. To win, we must expose these people, their tactics and their aims. Only then will we be able to awake our racial kin who are sleepwalking to oblivion.

## Spearhead Group

The Spearhead Group is an independent non-party organisation dedicated to the defence and promotion of *genuine racial nationalism* in Britain and opposition to any liberalising or 'watering down' tendencies in the nationalist movement. Part of its function is to promote the circulation of *Spearhead* magazine.

The SG does not have a formal membership, with subscriptions, cards, etc. Those interested in helping in its work should write to PO Box 36, Armley, Leeds LS12 2YE. The SG's website is at: <[www.rwb.org.uk](http://www.rwb.org.uk)> Its free weekly online bulletin can be received every Monday by e-mailing: <[nationalist.week@ntlworld.com](mailto:nationalist.week@ntlworld.com)>



**EVA PERÓN** (real name Maria Eva Duarte de Perón), known throughout the world as Evita, especially after the success of the Andrew Lloyd Webber stage play and film, lived only a very short life. Her impact on the politics of Argentina was, however, enormous; and it continues today more than fifty years after her death. Her name Eva became the affectionate 'Evita', or 'Our Little Eva'.

Eva was venerated by the Argentine workers – her '*descamisados*' (meaning 'shirtless ones'); she was slated by the *haute bourgeoisie* of Buenos Aires society (the fifty oligarchic families who had dominated the Argentine and against

mother bore to Juan Duarte. All the children were illegitimate – a social stigma in a staunch Catholic country. On her father's death, the family moved to the provincial town of Junin to start a new life. It was in Junin, at the age of 14, that she became determined to be an actress, and when she was given the chance to leave the backwater town she took it. She ran off to Buenos Aires, the cultural centre of South America, in the company of a young tango singer.

As an aspiring 15-year-old actress, Eva faced almost impossible odds in landing jobs in the theatre. She led a hand-to-mouth existence, often being ill and rarely having much to eat. Her opportunities took a dramatic leap

**EDDY MORRISON**  
looks at the  
colourful career of  
Eva Perón

improved living conditions; salary increases and protection from the Olig-Oligarchy, the grand capitalists of the country. The working class, for the first time in the nation's history, began to see some of the profits from its hard work. As a master stroke, Perón empowered and guided the giant *Confederation General del Trabajo* (CGT, or General Confederation of Labour), which embraced many of the trade unions.

It did not take long before Eva had to call Perón's and her *descamisados*, the shirtless ones, to the aid of the man who was now her husband. Another reactionary army *coup* was on the point of success when Evita (as she was now popularly known) called in all her poor but hugely numerous supporters. Upwards of 200,000 *descamisados* entered the capital city and demanded that Perón be their leader. Juan accepted the call of the Argentine people.

# “I will be back, and I will be millions!”

whom Evita fought her whole life); and she was totally misunderstood by the military establishment, who thought she could be used as a pawn. Through all of this she came to symbolise a strong Argentina, full of national pride and nationalist expectations in the years following the Second World War.

## POOR BEGINNINGS

Eva Perón's meteoric rise from her beginnings as a poor villager in the backwaters of a run-down country to a status as one of the most intriguing, charismatic and powerful figures in a male-dominated culture, is a story almost unique in political history.

Eva was born in the little village of Los Toldos in 1919, one of five children her

forward when a well-off manufacturer fell in love with her and provided her with her own radio show. Shortly thereafter, Eva's voice became a regular feature on the airwaves of Buenos Aires.

Eva's energy was incredible: her pace of work was terrific, and she quickly made powerful friends. Her lack of training in acting did not seem to hinder her ability to attract some very important people to her cause. Among her admirers were the President of Argentina and, more importantly, the Minister of Communications, Colonel Imbert, who controlled all radio stations in the country.

## MEETING WITH PERÓN

Eva met Colonel Juan Domingo Perón, the real power behind the new military government, at a fund-raising event for victims of the devastating 1944 San Juan earthquake, in which thousands died. She made a quick and favourable impression on the widowed colonel and started to see him regularly. Though exactly half Perón's 48 years, Eva, at numerous turns, assisted Perón's rise to power in ways that were beyond the imagination of even the most veteran politicians. When Perón became Minister of Labour and Welfare, Eva convinced him that his real power base should be the previously ignored masses of labourers living in the terrible slum conditions that then surrounded the otherwise glamorous Buenos Aires. Eva was fulfilling the real cause in her heart – social justice for the poor of the Argentine – together with Juan Perón's open nationalism. Perón had spent some time in Mussolini's Italy and was impressed by the Fascist corporate state.

A stream of proclamations issued from Juan Perón's ministry, bringing in for the first time a minimum wage;



**THE ACTRESS**  
Eva Duarte in her early days



**THE FIRST LADY**  
Evita Perón, as she came to be known

Evita solidified her ties with the workers by establishing her Social Aid Foundation. Through this charity, scores of hospitals and hundreds of schools were built, nurses trained, and money dispensed to the poor. Evita also furthered the cause of the women's political party, the Perónista Feminist Party, the women's arm of the Perónista party, as it had by then become known.



Even though a strong and inevitable personality cult was developing around her, Evita would always tell the people in her many speeches that all the real credit should go to her husband Juan, and that she would gladly sacrifice her life for him, as they should sacrifice theirs, and for their land and nation. Evita's finest personal and political moment came with her long tour of Europe, the aptly named 'Rainbow Tour', during which she met Franco, the leader of Spain, Pope Pius XII, and the Italian and French Foreign Ministers. In Italy and France, however, she met some nasty communist demonstrations. These were particularly vociferous in Italy, where the Reds called her a 'whore' and a 'nazi'.

Still, she absolutely dazzled post-war Europe with her charismatic, movie star-like appeal and passionate speeches. Her rags-to-riches story was told over and over again in the press, and she made the cover of *Time* magazine.

## DECLINE AND DEATH

By 1952, however, the people's heroine was dying, a victim of uterine cancer; but she kept up her work to the end. In her last speech, on May Day, Juan Perón had to hold her up as she spoke to the masses of *descamisados*

assembled in tears to hear her farewell to her beloved nation.

Evita's death on July 26th 1952 brought the whole of Argentina to a standstill. The masses were dumbstruck – they had lost both their Madonna and their spokeswoman. Evita's body was embalmed, and at her funeral tens of thousands paid their last respects, travelling from all parts of Argentina.

In 1955, Evita's body disappeared, stolen by the military after they had deposed Juan Perón because they feared her even in death. Evita represented national salvation. The Oligarchs were taking their revenge on her and her politics of national social justice even when she was no longer alive! The body was spirited away to Germany and then to Italy, where it was buried in secret for 16 years under another name. Juan Perón, then in exile in Spain, finally had the body returned to him.

Evita's story came to an end when Juan Perón passed away in Argentina in 1974. Her body was brought from Spain and it lay in state next to that of her husband.

Though efforts to have her made into a saint have been turned down by the Vatican, Evita still holds near to saint status in Argentina. Slogans proclaiming *Evita Vive!* (Evita Lives!) can be seen everywhere even today in a new century. At her family crypt in the

## Read Spearhead on the Internet!

The best articles and news items published in *Spearhead* in recent months can now be seen on the *Spearhead* website. You can visit this site at:

[www.spearhead-uk.com](http://www.spearhead-uk.com)

## Listen to nationalist radio!

Send International Reply Coupon for broadcast details to:-

**NATIONAL VANGUARD BOOKS,**  
Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946,  
U.S.A.

Internet: [www.natvan.com](http://www.natvan.com) &  
[www.natall.com](http://www.natall.com)

Recoleta Cemetery in Buenos Aires supporters and pilgrims still leave flowers, and a continual guard is kept to prevent vandalism.

On her deathbed she spoke the prophetic words: "I will be back and I will be millions!" Her courage and her patriotism, together with the love of her people and her loyalty to her husband and his ideals through thick and thin, make her the seminal White Nationalist heroine.

# MADHOUSE BRITAIN

A JUDGE last month threw out of court a charge against a woman confidence trickster because it was the 13th such charge she had faced.

Housewife Mandy Flanagan, appearing at Northampton Crown Court, admitted 12 charges, including deception involving stolen credit cards and cheques, but she denied the 13th charge, which related to an alleged theft.

Judge Peter Morrell then stunned the courtroom by ordering this last charge to be struck off the record. "It is a weakness," he said. "I have a superstition. I don't like to see indictments with 13 charges on them."

\* \* \*

UNDER a new Home Office ruling, officers in Her Majesty's Prisons will in future have to address inmates as 'Mr.'. They may no longer call them only by their surnames, although first names will be permissible.

All this is aimed at treating criminals with greater respect.

But the reform is not supported by the majority of prison officers. Brian Caton, general secretary of the Prison Officers' Association, has said: "It will make things worse, not better. Any insistence by the Prison Service on how officers should speak to prisoners will take away their ability to use their knowledge to ensure good order in prisons."

\* \* \*

DOWN-AND-OUTS are to get their own outdoor lounge bar – thanks to £1 million of taxpayers' money.

The Government is helping to fund a scheme to give tramps and winos their own haven of tranquillity, and a leading architect has been commissioned to design tailor-made benches as part of an urban redevelopment scheme to make street drinkers 'feel good'.

The scheme is planned for the area around the Old Street Underground Station in East London, a place notorious for vandalism and petty crime. This, presumably, is the criminals' reward for their labours.

\* \* \*

REMEMBER the case of schoolmaster Philip Lawrence, stabbed to death outside his school, St. George's Roman Catholic School in Maida Vale, West London, in 1995?

Well, the pupil, Learco Chindamo, now serving time for the crime in a prison in Yorkshire, is being let out daily to go shopping in the local neighbourhood. In addition to this, he is being considered for transfer to an open prison where he can be nearer his mother in London.

Chindamo can also expect to go on regular trips to theme parks, football matches and shopping centres.

\* \* \*

'SIR' Paul McCartney was holding court at the launch party for a new book of his. The subject was his concern for the plight of the starving in Africa. He told guests he was determined to perform at a coming Christmas show in support of charity Band Aid's campaign to feed the world.

*But suddenly he and his wife started feeling hungry, so he whisked her, the couple's bodyguard and a female friend through the backdoor of a limousine and off to a nearby Chinese restaurant. The bill for the four came to over £400!*

\* \* \*

MRS. Claire Collier worked as a JobCentre supervisor in Blackpool, spending her time trying to persuade her clients that they would be better off working than living on benefits.

But when she took a career break to spend more time with her seven children she applied for benefit herself. She found that as a single mother she was £3,000 a year better off than when she had been working!

\* \* \*

THE Hammersmith NHS Hospital in West London is being forced to spend £6,000 a week on an illegal immigrant with infectious TB who refuses to be treated.

The man, thought to be from Pakistan, has been in the hospital since the beginning of May after being diagnosed with a potentially fatal form of tuberculosis.

The money is being spent keeping him in a special isolation unit. He needs a 24-hour guard because he has already assaulted at least one of the medical staff caring for him after he was transferred to the hospital from prison.

\* \* \*

BOSSES at the Eden Project tourist attraction in Cornwall have banned the word 'Christmas' this year. They don't want any mention of what they call the 'C-Word' because they are worried it will offend followers of other faiths.



# Totalitarian Britain

**MANY of the ethnic British have never seemed too worried about immigration, perhaps thinking that "there's only a few of them" or "we owe the Blacks a favour, and they are our Commonwealth cousins," or "They work hard, don't they?" But such people should have heeded the warning signs that something more sinister was afoot when the term 'multi-cultural Britain' was slipped by stealth into the public domain. Now the full horror of what this country has become is shouted at them in banner headlines from our national tabloids: *Totalitarian Britain!* The frightening irony is that even many in the press fail to realise just how totalitarian we have become.**

The seething articles that appeared in September were of course referring to the government's anti fox-hunting Bill. The *Daily Mail* said that the civil disorder and behaviour of the Government in ramming home the legislation, politburo-style, had "appalling implications for Parliament and public alike." It deplored the social engineering by "triumphalist townies who loathe the old-fashioned values of the countryside and seek to impose their own politically correct mandate instead."

Yet most opponents of the Bill are not 'toffs' but ordinary farmers and hundreds of thousands of others who earn their living in the countryside and who work in the wider 'horse economy' that involves a host of small agricultural businesses, and who see their way of life under attack for no real reason. One angry idea animates them: the idea of their cherished liberty as free-born Britons being threatened by busybody politicians.

The fact that New Labour has now revealed itself as bossy, interfering and ignorant of British history should come as no surprise. The metropolitan élite is authoritarian by nature and identifies itself with an ever-more powerful state. The Government likes to tell us how we should bring up our families, how many children we should have, what to eat and drink, what are the correct thoughts we should have about homosexuals and asylum-seekers and much else. It claims the right to interfere in every aspect of our lives by embracing a moral absolutism typical of totalitarian regimes.

## ROLE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

However, we should be aware of Europe's history, and acknowledge where this meddling totalitarian instinct comes from. Ashley Mote is a prominent UKIP member of the European Parliament, and makes two salient points his recent book *Overcrowded Britain*. The first is the fact that the loss of control of our borders is largely the result of our membership of the EU; the secondly is that multi-culturalism and the attacks on nationalism and traditional values are neo-socialist ideas, which lie at the centre of EU doctrine.

In fact, Mote underplays the way western totalitarian rule maintains itself. 'Totalitarianism' means not just government *diktat*, but the promotion of an identical

'groupthink' by those who control our culture, especially the media and the schools but increasingly big business as well. The multi-national corporations have grown

**Our country, says ANTONY MILNE, is more and more taking on the look of a communist state, with the Government interfering in every sphere of people's lives**

powerful, and today control most aspects of our consumerist lifestyle.

The BBC is the most pernicious agency of totalitarianism anywhere in Europe, since it is a semi-government agency which enables broadcasters deliberately to over-represent ethnic minorities without worrying about commercial ratings, and thus be able to create a totally false image of a racially mixed Britain. This is now copied in the rest of the visual and creative media,



## THE BRITISH HUNT

**The drive to ban this is just part of the Soviet-style suppression of national traditions**

including adverts.

But Ashley Mote has got wise as to how the new Euro élite control the immigration agenda through political correctness. He says that they carefully plant key liberal propaganda words into debates in order to stifle discussion: words like 'inclusiveness' (good, of course) and 'intolerance', 'xenophobia' and 'right-wing extremism' (bad, of course).

Mote quotes the American scholar Paul Gottfried, who refers to the Orwellian nature of those promoting the 'politics of guilt', and says that today more Germans are languishing in prison from "inciting the public" (on identity and 'Holocaust' issues) than there were in East Germany before the fall of Communism. Gottfried says that the western state has already begun breaking down



the inherited identities of ethnic Europeans in order to reconstruct a multi-cultural heaven on earth where 'prejudice' is forbidden.

## EUROPE ASSUMING SOVIET MANTLE

Vladimir Bukovsky, a Russian expatriate with first-hand experience of totalitarianism, has said that when the Soviet Union collapsed in 1991 it was virtually re-created in the same year by the EU. Socialism was kept in place in the USSR and Soviet Bloc under the Brezhnev doctrine, with deviants being put under enormous pressure to conform. The same happens in the EU, as with the case of the Austrians who voted for the Freedom Party in 2002 and were promptly ostracised everywhere.

As in the Soviet Union, the EU member states aim to create a new historic entity, and to make citizens forget their original nationalities and ethnic traditions. The nation-state was supposed to 'wither away' under Communism, as it is supposed to do also in the EU. Its leaders want to bring in workers from the Third World rather than from Eastern Europe precisely because they want ethnically different people to live in Europe. Thus they hope to have a captive electorate for social democratic parties and be able to redistribute wealth.

The White Europeans will also be made to appear guilty about their negative attitudes to the world's poor people and will fear to become pariahs. People who reject multi-culturalism need to be put in their place with more propaganda, because it is clear that some are refusing to accept that their countries belong by right to every ethnic group in the world.

In other words, the EU is creating racial tensions in Europe for its own purposes. It can impose decisions that might otherwise not be acceptable, such as applying repressive measures to silence opposition. Bukovsky believes the EU would welcome civil disorder which it could blame on 'xenophobia', so that the politicians could put in place more authoritarian 'security measures'.

## COLLAPSE OF E.U. PREDICTED

Hence much of what is happening seems to be plain nihilistic evil: the deliberate breaking up of European ethnic states in order purposefully to dilute national identity with an unending flood of immigrants from different cultures, and to hell with the consequences! Bukovsky predicts that the EU will mercifully collapse like the USSR did, under its own weight of imperial overstretch and growing economic inefficiency. But it will leave immense destruction behind, and huge ethnic problems.

True, the totalitarianism is not yet completely total, since the media are paying more attention to the race and immigration issue than before. Yet the media have been caught in a bind of their own making. As pointed out in the February 2004 issue, the tabloids are outspoken, and yet still publish glowing articles about Asian families, and its picture editors will invariably show ethnic minorities exclusively doing traditional British



## RACIAL TENSION

**This is a scene from the riot in Burnley in 2001. Some welcome these disorders so that they can then blame 'racism' and 'xenophobia', thus providing an excuse for repressive measures against patriots.**

jobs, such as those of doctors, postmen or policemen.

Interestingly – something those on the Right have long mused over – Bukovsky says that if the Western Allies had not insisted on the unconditional surrender of the Nazis at the end of World War II, and had allowed some kind of *perestroika* to evolve, Europe would have retained the vestiges of fascism rather than socialism, and more moderate fascist leaders would have come to power in every country in Europe.

There can only be one inference from this: Europe under neo-fascist governments would certainly not have become multi-cultural under any circumstances, and its nations, with their large and enterprising populations, would still have become prosperous, stable and cohesive, and would have remained so. Of course, one is not allowed to say things like this today!

## APOLOGIES

Once again, we are forced to apologise to our readers for some shoddy printing, which showed up in last month's issue. The normal printing process is for us to deliver each issue to the printer on CD. The pages are then 'read' from this CD and negatives and plates are then made.

The person at the printer's who normally does this job was on holiday during the printing of the issue and we were advised that no one else could operate the system for the reading. Negatives therefore had to be made direct from printed-out pages of our copy. We were warned to expect a very small reduction in the quality of definition of the photographs – which we accepted – but we never anticipated the quality reduction that actually occurred. This badly affected, in particular, photographs accompanying the article about the Chicago Police. Sorry again for this poor service.

## FRIENDS OF SPEARHEAD

Friends of *Spearhead* is a support group that has been formed for the purpose of ensuring our magazine's continued survival.

Members of Friends of *Spearhead* pay a subscription of a minimum of £10 a month, in return for which they may, if they wish, receive five copies of each monthly issue for redistribution (by whatever means they prefer). This subscription may be paid by cheque or direct debit, in which case our bank details will be supplied.

In addition, Friends of *Spearhead* undertakes certain other promotions, details of which are advertised as they occur.

We hope very much that our readers and supporters, not only in the UK but around the world, will rally to help us in this project.

Friends of *Spearhead* can be contacted at PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.



# RESTORING THE NATION'S HEALTH

The state wants to do the right thing, says **JEFFREY TURNER**. But is *this* state really up to it?

**GOVERNMENTAL AUTHORITIES** – decades late – have recently been getting concerned about the declining standards of the nation's health. There has been a great deal of focus on obesity among young people, the product mainly of a diet of junk food and inadequate exercise. Then there has been the campaign against smoking, with legislation now in the pipeline which will duplicate in England and Wales the smoking bans already in operation in Scotland which outlaw the use of tobacco in many public and private places. Along with this, there will be new laws which place much tighter restrictions on the advertising of tobacco products.

All this has been dismissed by libertarians as 'health fascism', and it is interesting that opposition of this type seems to come more from Tories than from the left. Well, I suppose it makes me something of a 'health fascist' – a label I can live with without undue mental suffering. I have always dismissed the idea of argument by labels anyway: it is a poor substitute for serious examination of the issues. And the issues involved in the dangerous health and fitness levels now being reached in Britain deserve a serious approach.

## ALARMING FACTS

The facts are alarming. In a report by Jeremy Laurance in *The Independent* of November 16th, it was stated that:-

"British waistlines are expanding so fast that three quarters of the population could suffer the ill effects of excess weight within 10 to 15 years, and obesity could overtake smoking as Britain's single biggest preventable killer.

"Rising rates of heart disease, diabetes, cancer and other chronic conditions linked with being overweight threaten to overwhelm the NHS, the Commons Health Select Committee warned six months ago."

Are we then consuming too many calories? Apparently we are now

consuming fewer than previously. Actually, as the report says, we are eating 750 fewer calories a day on average than we were 20 years ago. The main problem is our lack of exercise. As Laurance says:-

"The cause of the crisis is an imbalance between the calories people consume and the energy they expend... Out of this small imbalance has come the wave of obesity. Numbers have trebled since the 1980s, with 22 per cent of men and 23 per cent of women now classed as obese... Almost two thirds of men and more than two thirds of women are overweight, with a body mass index of 25 or above – 24 million adults in total."

And:-

"The ill effects of being overweight or obese are estimated to cause 30,000 deaths a year. Obesity reduces life expectancy by nine years."

Last but not least in significance:-

"No strategy has been shown to be effective against obesity. The problem needs a strategy to improve diets and increase exercise, targeting the food industry, transport, leisure, employers and schools."

Two things here strike one immediately as rather ironic. It seems strange for the present Government to be getting so exercised about smoking when that same Government has given the green light to police to look the

other way in the face of blatant exhibitions of drug-taking, and is under great pressure from Labour backbenchers to legalise this activity as has been done for many years in Holland – with appalling effects. The Government has also encouraged the increase of alcohol consumption by liberalising the previous laws limiting opening hours of off-licences and pubs.

## DECLINE IN SPORT

Meanwhile, one of the major causes of obesity, in particular among young people, is the decline in sporting activities in schools. Labour politicians have recently been making a big noise about this, with numerous 'initiatives' supposedly directed at reversing the process, but Labour-controlled local authorities have still been selling off school playing fields to developers, despite the public scandal surrounding this practice which has been going on for many years. As for the Tories, it was local authorities under their control which got the tendency going in a big way throughout the 1980s and early-to-mid 1990s. Labour, after much huffing and puffing about this, has done virtually nothing to stop it.

Excessive drinking, which also contributes to the obesity problem along with many others, have not only increased as a result of relaxed opening hours; they have also done so because of the lowering, in real money values, of alcohol prices. The average drinker, according to the *Independent* report,

**Junk food and gawping at trashy TV programmes: two of today's main national addictions.**





consumes 50 per cent more alcohol today than in the 1970s, owing to a 50 per cent fall in the cost of drink relative to incomes.

Is very much going to come from the current political focus on national health and fitness problems? It would be comforting to think that it will, but there have to be grave doubts.

Mr. Laurance has spoken about strategies against obesity not being effective. He has also pinpointed the need for them to be all-embracing in their targets: the food industry, transport, leisure, employers and schools. All true, but is this likely to happen in the present climate and given the present political methods and means?

None of the problems under surveillance is new. Neither is the public outcry surrounding them. Political leaders, educationalists, medics and others have been uttering much the same warnings for a long time, and the crisis has merely become worse instead of better. It is one thing to identify it; it is another thing entirely to summon the will to deal with it effectively. Here we run up against problems endemic in the national climate which provides the background to the present physical deterioration of the British people.

### **LAISSEZ-FAIRE APPROACH**

At the root of so much is a traditional *laissez-faire* culture which in this country is not easy to eradicate. Back in the 1930s, when so many of the present health-threatening influences had not yet surfaced, a large portion of the British population was in poor physical shape – the tendency then being more towards a condition of underweight than of overweight. Sport in schools was certainly not lacking. With few people possessing cars, ordinary exercise in the course of an average day was adequate. Most youngsters walked to school and most adults walked at least some of the way to work. Our streets were also safer, and we could allow our kids to go out on their own to walk and play much more readily. The drug trade had only begun to affect a very few people, and hardly any among the young.

But when war came in 1939 army medical examiners nevertheless had to cope with many recruits with undernourished bodies reporting for duty. Large parts of the population were still going hungry, with their offspring suffering stunted growth. And of what food there was on the family table much was poor in nutrition. There was plenty of public talk about such



**Plentiful school sports are vital in breeding a fit population. Nations which give them a high priority will always be stronger, other things being equal, than those that do not. All the parties in government in Britain in recent decades have allowed sports in our schools to be undermined through lack of facilities and inadequate attention by teaching staff.**

matters back then just as now, but in the outcome little was done: the same paralysis inhibited action. Our servicemen, when sent into battle, had to fight against adversaries who on average were of stronger physique and thus better able to cope with the rigours of war, while in the factories here at home production suffered through much the same circumstances of a physically below-par work force. Beside these handicaps, courage and patriotism were not always enough.

Whether we liked it or not, our 'enemies' in that conflict were the products of societies that set a much higher premium on the physical well-being of their populations, and equipped themselves to take the measures necessary to ensure that their public health and fitness targets were realised. Here in Britain there was still political inertia, lack of sufficient public concern and a prevailing attitude that health and fitness were matters for the individual rather than for the state. In the length of time it took to win the war, and in the weight of allied help relied upon to do so, we paid a heavy price.

And we have done so ever since: our national performance in the following years has been vastly below what might have been expected in a nation

with such a heritage of inventive genius and productive skills. A poor average level of health has played a major part in causing this. The present concern over increasing absenteeism at work and the 'sick-note' tendency are symptoms.

### **STRATEGY INADEQUATE**

Mr. Laurance in *The Independent* speaks of a 'strategy' to combat obesity and other health problems. In this, he is fundamentally right; but in the range he suggests for the strategy he does not go nearly far enough. The food industry, transport, leisure, employers and schools are mentioned; but how are these to be harnessed properly to a national health and fitness drive? The food industry, for a start, constitutes massive vested interests. Has there been any sign so far of the present Government or any of its predecessors being prepared to stand up to those interests and subordinate them to a firm political will – and I am thinking now of the vast number of chemicals, additives and artificial fertilisers that are employed in the production of our food? Transport? Well, the role of the motor car in reducing our need to walk is common knowledge; but the car is here to stay. What has to be done is

*Contd. overleaf*



## RESTORING THE NATION'S HEALTH

(Contd. from prev. page)

persuade people not always to use the car but to walk a mile or two each day instead – a regular habit I have adopted in recent times, sometimes legging it to the post office when I could postpone it till tomorrow, just to get the walk. Leisure? There has in recent years been an improvement in the provision of leisure facilities for those who want to use them for the purpose of exercise, though we in Britain are still many miles behind most other nations in this endeavour – as results in the international sporting arena continue to demonstrate. But it is one thing to provide these facilities; it is another thing entirely to persuade people to use them. In the kind of society we have become in this country, that is an uphill struggle.

Employers? In the international free market, where British producers have to compete against cut-price foreign rivals, often in the Third World, industrial and commercial entrepreneurs have to trim costs to the bone; and that can often mean skimping on the duty to provide the very healthiest possible working environment in factory and office. In a relatively closed nationalist economy, it would be much easier to impose minimum standards on companies, because they would be competing against each other on a level playing field – all would have to comply. When we reach that happy situation in this country, we would be well advised to study the health regulations operating in work places in some countries in Europe as long ago as the first half of the 20th century, for they provide some valuable examples of how things should be done.

## DERELICTION IN SCHOOLS

But it is when we come to Mr. Laurance's last-mentioned institution, schools, that we run up against the greatest difficulties. The selling off of playing fields has been alluded to as one problem, but that is only the start. The teaching profession is a much bigger one.

Almost all of our teachers of today are the products of universities and training colleges where the all-pervasive atmosphere is left-liberal. They carry these influences with them into the schools in which they teach, and the results are a disaster that almost all can see. The dumbing down of academic education is the most visible

outcome, but the decline in physical education is also apparent. The 'sporty' type of schoolteacher widely prevalent in the 'thirties, 'forties and 'fifties, who was able to combine ordinary lessons with supervision of games, is far less prevalent today. And the values which many teachers carry with them from university and college into classroom are different. Physical health and fitness have lower places among those values, if they have any at all. Discipline, ever needed to achieve standards of physical excellence, is an abandoned word. So also are personal pride and pride in the team. These are concepts completely foreign to the lefty academic.

## WHAT BOYS NEED

It is my belief that, for young males at least, the ideal schoolteacher is first of all male himself – a *genre* in all too short supply in the modern system. Then, he should preferably be able to combine with his function of imparting knowledge something of the personality of the sergeant-major. He should be physically fit, manly in bearing and authoritarian in voice and manner. That type gets respected among boys, whereas the over-educated wimp does not. If every teacher cannot fulfil this role, there should at least be in all schools a few who are capable of it.

Even the polarity of the sexes is seen as reactionary. In a healthy society there is pride in being a fine specimen of a heterosexual man or woman, boy or girl; and physical well-being is an important part of this imperative. In one where there is a deliberate blurring of sexual distinctions, and youngsters are even encouraged to 'question' their sexuality, that incentive is removed, and healthy life-styles are not considered a priority. Young males do not strive after manliness, and young girls are prejudiced against femininity – as ghastly dress habits that can be observed in any British street today will testify. Pride in appearance begets a sense of responsibility towards one's physical health, as also does the desire to perform better in one's chosen field of work – a matter of pride of a different kind. But where the whole culture is antipathetic to any kind of pride – national pride above all – what is the point of exerting oneself to achieve anything? Thus we become a nation of unfit slobs, without ambition, not caring how we look nor caring whether we are a constant burden on the NHS.

But where Mr. Laurance's reference to fields of strategy made the most glaring omission of all was his failure to mention the mass media, the principal bearers of the culture by which our national life is shaped. Today the left-liberal intelligentsia have occupied the commanding heights of this culture, and jealously take all steps to ensure that they will hold onto them.

Television is, of course, the main medium; and television – in the hands, as it is, of our national enemies – ensures that lifestyles conducive to good health are derided while those conducive to the very opposite are promoted. Drug-taking, if not exactly exalted, is depicted in soaps, movies and most documentaries as a valid option. Meanwhile, popular entertainment of the most moronic variety is itself made a drug – including the worst kind of nerve-shattering alien noise parading as 'music'. The role models performing this garbage are usually the poorest physical specimens imaginable – even when one can determine the sex to which they belong. And the 'couch-potato' who spends hundreds of hours a month sitting on his inflated backside imbibing all this drivel becomes, somehow, the national archetype with which great numbers of young folk are happy to identify.

## UNHEALTHINESS IN ART

At a more serious level, perhaps the best examples of the present cultural degeneracy can be seen in the preferred visual art forms. Traditional European ideals of the human form were based on the statues of Ancient Greece and Rome, modelled on men and women who must have achieved the highest standards of health and beauty. But today's 'politically correct' culture turns its back on all this and instead idealises weakness, sickness and the sexually indeterminate. It is baffling that people should imagine that a state and system given up to these cultural norms could ever seriously dedicate itself to achieving a physically healthy population, let alone accomplish that task. If the wish stirs at times in the breast, the priorities are never strong enough, and the will is therefore absent.

Our current western liberal societies in general, and our British society in particular, are feeble beyond repair; and the low physical level of our population is but one symptom of the malaise. Those who seek to remedy the



latter shortcoming no doubt mean well and should not be discouraged; but all the time they are fighting against evils that are too powerful for them to deal

with adequately, and within a system just not up to the task. Their purpose can only be achieved within the framework of a political, cultural and spirit-

ual *revolution* that must encompass all human activity.

With the present people in control, that will never happen.

# The war that we're in

**MANY of those who lived through World War II will recall occasions when an exasperated person said to those heard complaining: "Don't you know there's a war on?"**

This question was never more relevant than it is today. Simple-minded folk assume that this country is not in a state of war unless the Prime Minister says so. Meanwhile, apart from ceremonial reminders like Remembrance Sunday, and various film and TV productions about World War II we are led to believe war is somewhere else or a long time ago, and does not relate to life in Britain today. But war is a means to an end, namely the domination and occupation of territory. And if the desired territory can be occupied and eventually dominated without any of the risks associated with a declaration of war and armed resistance, so much the better for any would-be invader.

Of course, this can only be achieved when the country's Government steadfastly insists that, regardless of an expanding and ever more assertive alien presence, the notion that Britain is at war with an invading force is absurdly alarmist. Indeed, the Government goes much further by criminalising some people propagating this notion, if they're not careful with the words they use.

Since the end result of non-resistance can only be the domination of this country by alien invaders, such government behaviour can only be described as high treason. Accordingly, the patriotic Briton is honour-bound to do whatever it takes to bring that régime to an end.

Failure to act amounts to a total betrayal of all those who sacrificed their lives and endured immense hardships to preserve Britain's identity, culture and homeland in two hideously destructive world wars. What we have in Britain today is the equivalent of the Vichy government in German-occupied France during WW2; that is to say, an establishment intended to ensure law-abiding submission to the alien occupation. We are nowadays given to regard support for the so-called Resistance movement in occupied France as entirely honourable and praiseworthy; and indeed many lives were lost in such heroic exploits. And it is also noteworthy that those French people seen to facilitate the German occupation were despised as 'collaborators'.

## History repeating itself?

Who said history doesn't repeat itself, when those who resist the alien occupation of Britain can now be exposed to criminal penalties, and when so many people adopt a complacent attitude to the surrender of their homeland? Of course, when it became safe, not to say fashionable, to do so, the collaborators were ready enough to wave the French flag and protest their loyalty. It was ever thus: the real champions of a

nation's history have always been a dedicated and passionate minority for whom the loyalty that costs nothing is worth nothing.

It is therefore scarcely surprising that British patriots today find themselves an embattled minority in a population seemingly oblivious or indifferent to a national war for survival. And like their wartime counterparts in occupied

## Because a declaration of hostilities has not been made, says FRANK KIMBAL JOHNSON, it does not mean Britain is not fighting for its survival

France, they may soon find themselves driven underground by an increasingly repressive régime.

A fact each of us must face is that, under these conditions, complacency is indistinguishable from cowardice, if not from downright treachery. The 'What did you do in the war, Daddy?' question comes to mind. For make no mistake, posterity will certainly perceive this time in our nation's history as no less critical to its survival than 1940. But there are no grandiloquent speeches from our leaders this time about fighting on the beaches and in the streets and hills, etc. Dear me, no; we nowadays hear only the rhetoric of surrender. Alien occupation, we are told, is actually quite a good thing really, if only you weren't so attached to your own racial



**1940: Aircrew return from a bombing raid. Then everyone knew we were at war.**

and national heritage! The message is: what does it matter if your towns and cities are overrun with Afghans, Somalis, Sikhs, Pakistanis, West Indians, Chinese and any other alien swarm, so long as we're not actually at war with these people? That the end result is likely to be just the same goes unmentioned.

No doubt the people in wartime France went about their daily chores and recreations much as

people do in Britain today; too preoccupied with immediate concerns to give much thought to the national predicament. When confronted, they doubtless threw up their hands like so many do today and said: "But what can I do about it?" And it's a very fair question; so it is incumbent upon all active patriots to provide a whole range of options for those who would genuinely like to

help if only they knew how to go about it without unacceptable risks. There is abundant scope for resistance to the present government régime at negligible risk, mostly in various forms of civil disobedience, and advice on such methods should be made widely available. At the very least, such methods should include a boycott of those businesses seen to be actively collaborating with the renegade Government's policies. Leaving aside more active measures, nothing whatever should be done which allows or assists the alien occupation to continue unopposed. Everything possible should be done to remind people that there are no neutrals in this particular war for national survival, and that those who collaborate with the renegade régime must expect disagreeable consequences when that regime finally collapses – if not sooner!


## Call to duty

Meanwhile all genuine patriots have a duty to assist in every way possible those more actively engaged in the front line of national survival. What renegade elements choose to describe as 'racism' is actually the immune system of the race and nation responding to a dangerous intrusion. And as we all know, any weakening of that immunity leaves us exposed to all the diseases known to afflict mankind.

Reverting to the wartime French experience, little is to be gained by random attacks on individuals among the occupying forces; they are mostly just as much victims of circumstance as the indigenous population. We have no antipathy towards people because they are not of our race and nation but only when they lay claim to our homeland. As guests, visitors and tourists they are entitled to the traditional British hospitality; but as usurpers of our homeland and heritage they can expect and deserve our implacable resistance.

And all those members of the general public who don't know or refuse to accept that Britain is actually engaged in a war for survival can expect some rather nasty reminders.





SIR: I am saddened by the antics of those who have gained control of the BNP and seem determined to oust its founder, John Tyndall, from the party. Do these people not realise that but for JT's many years of effort and struggle on behalf of British Nationalism there would be no BNP today?

Apart from the sustained denigration of Mr. Tyndall, the present party leadership under Mr. Griffin has ditched some of the most cherished principles for which the party was founded. In particular, I refer to the idea of racial exclusivity, which hitherto was a fundamental requirement for membership and the selection of prospective election candidates.

I recall many years ago overhearing Mr. Griffin talking to some of his friends in a bar after a National Front meeting. I cannot remember what he was talking about; but I do remember the late Kenneth McWilliam, who was with me, saying: "That young man needs watching: he is motivated by personal ambition rather than any real concern for our country or our people."

I now realise how prescient Ken McWilliam was; for recent events have convinced me that what we are witnessing in the party today is sheer naked ambition masquerading as nationalism. Let us all hope that sooner rather than later wiser councils will prevail.  
RONALD G.W. RICKCORD  
Newport Pagnell, Bucks.

SIR: As a long-standing member of the BNP, I have been disturbed by the recent upheavals concerning the leadership of the party.

Today I received my usual monthly member's bulletin *British Nationalist*. Included in this are two pages of constitutional changes, including one aiming to prevent members seeking redress through the law against acts of expulsion.

It is obvious to me that this constitutional change is aimed at you – and older members of the party with views like my own. I would like to make it quite clear that I support you in your efforts to retake control of the party.  
JOHN DIXON

New Ash Green, Kent

SIR: Those who hate us with an eternal, blind passion, and work to destroy us, preach love and liberty. Their lies are sustained only because they censor all news. Their hateful 'love' is an image projected by modern techniques. It flickers on the screen only because their thugs guard access to the theatre.

Germany and Japan are still under effective military occupation. Serbia was bombed into ruin and suffers the same. Afghanistan and Iraq are being bombed and smashed into so-called 'democracy'. Oil-rich Libya was imprisoned in its borders. South Africa and Rhodesia were destroyed by murder and blockade. And everywhere that the malevolent monster of globalism imposes its presence there is the same ruin and devastation of the deceived races that have been secretly chained to its rule.

Men should look to see who actually rides the monster!

P. LISLE  
Southport, Lancs.

SIR: Rocco Buttiglione has been blackballed by the European Commission for no better reason than his expressing opinions about homosexuality that it didn't like.

The EU pretends to the virtue of tolerance for all: the good, the bad and the ugly – well, not quite: that minority of heretics whose opinions might expose the EU as being less than all-embracing are cast into outer darkness.

A jury-rigged paraphrase of Tennyson's masterly use of the oxymoron from his *Idylls of the King* might serve to give a glimpse into the whitened sepulchre of the EU:-

"Its tolerance rooted in intolerance stood; and fairness unfairly kept it wrongly right."

N.G. CHARNLEY  
Blackpool, Lancs.

SIR: The *News of the World* poll over which would be the best party to deal with the current immigration crisis showed the Tories with 24 per cent; Labour 15 per cent; LibDem 9 per cent and (according to the *NotW*) BNP 3 per cent.

Well, my maths were never very good at grammar school but I make that 51 per cent in total. Are we then to believe that 49 per cent of those polled – almost half – had no opinion? Pull the other one!

MIKE ROBINSON  
Sharnford, Leics.

SIR: Frank Kimbal Johnson's letter last month was a nice political lollipop: short, concise and absolutely to the point. Like him, I get pretty cheesed off by being constantly told about the great contribution of Asians and Afro-Caribbeans to Britain's effort in two world wars – seemingly implying that we would never have come through without their support.

Yet when I've mentioned in certain places the strictly limited role these people played in the wars, the full vituperation of the PC brigade has been turned on me. This crowd generally consists of dismal little twerps whose sole experience of warfare was probably gained when passing accidentally through a contemporary city centre at night.

I like to draw the attention of such people to the treachery of home-grown communists,

not to mention the leaders of Congress in India, during World War II. Then I quote Correlli Barnett, our most distinguished military historian, to them. He clearly points out that the impact of Asian and Afro-Caribbean forces in the war was almost negligible. *Spearhead* readers unacquainted with Barnett's writings should get hold of a copy of his major work, *The Collapse of British Power*. This would both inform and inspire, filling out a few dark winter evenings.

JAMES AGUTTER  
Oxford

(EDITOR'S NOTE: It may be of some interest to our readers that *The Collapse of British Power* received an extensive review in our February 1973 issue, shortly after it was first published).

SIR: This morning, Remembrance Sunday, on the BBC Radio Four programme *Today* they attacked Mordechai Vanunu, who revealed the nuclear bomb plant at Dimona, in Israel.

The revelation did no harm to Israel – Bush and Blair continue to support the Israelis.

On the other hand, the same two invaded Iraq on the false information that Saddam Hussein had "weapons of mass-destruction." So what about Israel?

Vanunu suffered 18 years solitary confinement for his revelations, and in the course of that time converted to Christianity. He has now just been arrested again by Israeli police inside a Christian church.

I am still waiting to hear the angered reaction of Messrs. Bush and Blair!  
MICHAEL INGRAMS  
Orpington, Kent

SIR: How can Islington (North London) Council justify its campaign to get the word 'Saint' dropped by a local church-funded school? To justify this move by saying that that 'Saint' will upset Muslims is political correctness gone mad.

The bottom line has to be that the word has been in common use throughout this country for hundreds of years before Muslims ever set foot here. It is part of our heritage.

MARK TWIDDY  
London E.7

SIR: I am reading Angus Roxburgh's book *Preachers of Hate: The Rise of the Far Right*. The blurb on the cover tells us that Roxburgh is employed by the BBC and spends his time shunting between Brussels (to do his job) and Islay, away up on the West Coast of Scotland, where he has his home and where his wife and family live.

So why does Mr. Roxburgh live so far away from London, which would be much more convenient to him? Could it be because he doesn't want his wife and family to be close to the droves of ethnic minorities which he is constantly defending against the wicked 'racists'?

HARRY MULLIN  
Glasgow



## It's not just your postcode

Elderly and seriously disabled Mrs. A.S.B., Anglo-Saxon and British-born and bred, cannot claim for the money that she gives to her daughter as some compensation for the loving care she receives. On the other hand, elderly Mrs. E.M., from an ethnic minority, is fully reimbursed by the local authority for the cash for care which she pays to her family. As a learned judge put it, "The 1976 Race Relations Act states that any act done to afford a particular racial group access to facilities or services to meet the special needs of that group cannot be rendered unlawful." The rationale underlying this lunacy is that some ethnic groups cannot accept the provision of care from anyone other than family members. What! Even though it results in blatant discrimination? However, since we are told, *ad nauseam*, that skin pigmentation itself must never figure in the decision-making process, we would suggest that Mrs. A.S.B. might embrace, at least nominally, whichever culture and/or religion offers her the best deal.

## Beware of the new Euro-spin

Most of the downright lies told about the alleged god-sends of EU membership are so patently obvious that even Mr. Robert Kilroy-Silk can spot them. But now, doubtless encouraged by the Government, the media are telling a sort of 'Tony-in-Wonderland' tale in which the United Kingdom has successfully organised the appointment of its own nominee, Snr. Barroso, as President of the European Commission, to the chagrin of French President, M. Chirac, and has also mutilated, to its own ends, the original draft constitution put forward by his compatriot M. Giscard d'Estaing. Moreover, we are told that the acceptance of the ten new members in May has brought federalist expectations to a low point, as Poland, etc., are much more keen on getting handouts than pursuing further integration. Even the initial rejection by MEPs of Snr. Barroso's suggested job allocation among the Commissioners has been hailed as a triumph for democracy rather

# THE WAY WE LIVE NOW

Some observations on contemporary society  
by JUNIUS

than for intolerance.

The implication is that we must now relax and be happy in the realisation that the monster has been tamed. Nothing could be further from the truth! The EU is still making our laws and is still intent on controlling every aspect of our economy. The now frequently heard observation that the whole thing will one day implode is of no comfort to us at the present time. The door is still there, and we must use it!

*Footnote:* Britain will be one of the last, if not the very last, to hold a referendum on the new constitution. The ploy is obvious. If by June 2006 all the others have accepted the prospect of national extinction, then we will be urged not to rock the boat!

## Go back to school!

Knowledge acquired over donkeys' years of painstaking anthropological and biological research has been nullified, according to Mark Henderson writing in *The Times*. In the findings of a survey organised by Howard University in Washington DC we are told that: "Gene tests prove we are all the same under the skin, indicating that the racists' central argument and theories linking intelligence to ethnic origin have been destroyed."

The basis of these absurd claims is that all members of the human race share 99.99 per cent of their DNA with each other. Ergo, ethnicity is almost entirely socially and culturally constructed, and claims linking it to intelligence, criminality (their words, not ours!) or anything else are baseless.

Interestingly, these hapless researchers have also discovered that we share no less than three quarters of our DNA with dogs – which suggests that our two species should exhibit some common characteristics. However, we cannot recall ever meeting a border collie who had written

a piano concerto or designed a submarine; and as for ourselves, we definitely do not bark, walk on all fours or wag our tails! On the other hand, we apparently share 98.5 per cent of our DNA with chimpanzees, so that, logically, the researchers should have concluded that we are all part of one, ever so slightly genetically modified, happy family. Is it possible that the people responsible for the daft conclusions drawn from this report were indulging in a spot of wishful thinking? Howard University is in fact a black college where most of the students and academics are of African-American origin!

## More crime? Just what we need!

Never long out of the news, 'Lord' Levy, chief fund-raiser to the Labour Party, Tony's tennis partner and his impartial(?) envoy to the Middle East, has been busy again. Only months ago he had a private meeting with one Lloyd Nathan, the European chief of MGM Mirage, which is a major player in the American casino business. So what was discussed? Nothing much, it seems, according to his 'lordship', who pointed out that Nathan's brother is best friends with Levy's son Daniel. In a backhanded sort of way, the casino mogul himself was more informative. Declining to confirm that there had been a meeting, he pointed out that he met a lot of people, including 'lordships', and went on to say: "But I do not want to go into this."

This was just one of several assignments between ministers, civil servants and gaming gangsters, where important matters like tax breaks and the relaxation of money-laundering rules were on the agenda. And the purpose of it all? Nothing less than the introduction into these islands of up to 40 Las Vegas-style super casinos (now reduced

to eight). We must not, however, according to our rulers, adopt a negative stance towards this idea. The luxury gaming houses will be largely located in areas in need of regeneration; areas we suppose where, currently, gangs settle their differences with guns or knives, or places where exactly how you will be assaulted or murdered is itself a lottery. It seems that in future a victim may never know whether his assailant was a junkie or maybe someone who had just lost his wages playing one-armed bandits.

## Mere statistics

*As seen by fly on the wall in Washington:* An excited figure bursts into the Oval Room. *Rumsfeld:* "Chief, Chief, we've won, we've won!" *Bush:* "Whad'ya mean we've won, Don?" *Rumsfeld:* "New figures chief, new figures. We've killed more people than that sonofabitch Saddam."

And indeed they have. The most recent estimate is 100,000-plus – not soldiers but civilians, and all this before those dying in the defence of Fallujah are counted. As for us British, we will declare that "Despite all the efforts of our political masters, we are still, today, tomorrow and forever, a great nation, and reject, with all the force and fury at our disposal, the wicked unprovoked invasion and occupation of this Iraqi state." Whatever your religious persuasion, your God does not condone this hideous massacre, this premature burial of little boys and girls beneath the rubble of a foreign city. For Folk and for Nation, stand up and be counted!

## The lucky 1.6

In *Spearhead* for November 2003 we noted that rape occurs approximately every 20 seconds in Carltonville, South Africa. Intending visitors may be comforted to know that the average interval, across the whole country, is now estimated at a much more reassuring 83 seconds. Moreover, the incidence of this horrific crime has dropped over the past ten years from 115.3 to 113.7 per 100,000, though critics aver that the figures have been massaged. However, President Thabo Mbeki has asserted that these latter are white racists determined to depict black Africans as savages (his words, not theirs).



**Advance to Barbarism (F.J.P. Veale) £13.95.** Shows how warfare has degenerated to some of the worst levels in known history.

**Alien Menace, The (Lt. Col. A.H. Lane) £4.50.** Early warnings against immigration. First published 1928.

**Anglo-Italian Symposium 1938. £7.00.** Presentations by Ezra Pound, Rev. Nye, Father Copleston J.C., Lt. Col. Cyril Rocke, Rear Admiral W.E.R. Martin and others at the Institute of Cultural Affairs in Pavia.

**Anton Pavelic and the Ustashi (Rev. James K. Warner) £2.75.** A brief history of the Croation nationalist movement.

**Assassination: The Tragedy of South Africa (Jaap A. Marais) £6.00.** This book charts the events leading up to and following the murder of Dr. Verwoerd and the relentless revision back to savagery, poverty and horror which all thinking people knew would follow. The author does, however, give a glimpse of hope for the future.

**Atrocities: The Moral Question (Sir Oswald Mosley) £4.50.** How Europe is poisoned by hypocrisy and one-sided allegations.

**Banking Swindle, The (Kerry Bolton) £3.50.** Short biographies of those who have opposed the charging of interest on money created by mere 'book entry' without regard to real wealth or productive capacity.

**Behind The Lodge Door (Paul A. Fisher) £16.75.** About the influence of Masonic secret societies on church and state.

**Best Democracy Money Can Buy, The (Greg Palast) £9.95.** An investigative reporter exposes the truth about globalisation, corporate confidence tricks and high-finance fraudsters.

**Biology of the Race Problem (Professor Wesley C. George) £3.30.** A comprehensive introduction to racial differences with indisputable scientific data.

**Bitter Harvest (Ian Smith) £20.00.** About the great betrayal and the dreadful aftermath of 'independence' in Rhodesia by Africa's most controversial leader.

**Brazil: A Study in Racial Integration and National Weakness (Seth Adamson) £2.75.** How a nation with every national resource failed to develop and remained in the Third World.

**Break His Bones (Bradley R. Smith) £12.99.** Reveals the systematic attempts at the suppression of historical revisionism in the USA.

**Camp of the Saints, The (Jean Raspail) £12.00.** A work of fiction that has almost come true: the overwhelming and destruction of Europe by immigrants from the Third World.

**Churchill: The End of Glory (John Charmley) £33.55.** A political biography showing the actual results of Sir Winston's premiership. Hardback.

**Cost of a Reputation (Ian Mitchell) £17.95.** About the libel case of Aldington versus Tolstoy

*The prices given here include postal charges for dispatch within the British Isles. For postage overseas please enquire before ordering.*

*Payments should be made to 'Spearhead Books' and sent to the above address.*

*Spearhead Books handles works by numerous and varied independent authors. It should not need stating that the publisher of Spearhead may not necessarily endorse all the contents of these works.*

and operation Keelhaul.

**Crucifying the Saviour of France (Harry Elmer Barnes) £3.90.** The trial and imprisonment of Marshall Pétain and the circumstances that led to his fate.

**Czechoslovakia: The Naked Truth About the World War Plot (G. Lane Fox Pitt-Rivers) £3.90.** This little booklet really shows the pre-war situation accurately, and gives a fresh look at the policy of so-called 'appeasement'.

**Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire (Edward Gibbon) £7.00.** The classic history treatise edited and abridged by Dero A. Saunders.

**Deculturalisation of the English People (John Lovejoy) £5.95.** The sad story of the process by which the English people are in essence being destroyed, and a remedy to reverse the situation.

**Demjanjuk Affair, The (Yoram Sheftel) £23.00.** The rise and fall of a show trial.

**Did Six Million Really Die? (Richard Harwood) £5.00.** Controversial and yet good news for all alleged victims and students of the 'Holocaust'.

**Dissecting the Holocaust (Germar Rudolf) £20.00.** In 22 contributions, each of 30 pages, 17 authors dissect the generally accepted paradigm of the 'Holocaust'. One by one, the lies, forgeries and deceptions by politicians, historians and scientists are exposed and disposed of for ever.

**Evita; The New Feminism (Evita Peron) £3.90.** A selection of essays by this most revered personality.

**Fable of the Ducks and the Hens, The (George Lincoln Rockwell) £5.00.** A colourful cartoon-style A4-size book telling the story of 'asylum-seekers' in a modern parable form. An excellent gift for children.

**Ferenc Szalasi and the Arrow Cross (Pablo de Vago) £3.30.** In faraway Hungary, a country about which most people know little, an epic struggle took place and a system called co-nationalism developed.

**Fiend and Felon (Gerd Honsik) £10.50.** The author shows the unsavoury side of the character of Simon Wiesenthal, the notorious 'nazi hunter'.

**First Holocaust, The (Don Heddesheimer) £7.00.** This book provides the evidence that between 1916 and 1920 many Jewish American organisations were claiming that up to six million Jews

would face a Holocaust if they did not receive millions of dollars in aid.

**For My Legionaries (Corneliu Zelea Codreanu) £12.00.** The story of the life of Rumania's greatest nationalist leader and his struggle to bring justice to his people.

**Great Money Reformers (L. Wise) £9.00.** Three booklets which detail the lives and ideas of Silvio Gesell, Arthur Kitson and Frederick Soddy regarding the 'Money Question'. A valuable background for all those who are interested in Social Credit ideas.

**Hoax of the 20th Century, The (Prof. A.R. Butz) £15.00.** The most famous, and indeed seminal, work, on 'Holocaust' revisionism.

**Horus Saves (E.A. McCallum) £5.80.** An historical novel illustrating conquests of nations by religion.

**How Can Liberals be so Stupid (George Lincoln Rockwell) £3.30.** A brilliant explanation of what motivates silly and woolly-minded people in contemporary society, and how to deal with them.

**How it was Done (Steven Smith) £5.00.** The inspiring story of the rise of Burnley BNP from almost nothing to national renown. The secret of the branch's dramatic electoral success and many other tips on how to build a local organisation, from the man who pioneered this outstanding achievement. 75pp.

**Is this Justice? (The Duke of Bedford) £5.60.** An account of the workings of Defence Regulation 18B during the World War II, with many examples of gross injustice brought about by imprisoning men and women without charge or trial.

**Jew, the Gipsy and El Islam, The (Sir Richard F. Burton) £19.00.** The famous explorer, writer and adventurer reveals all he discovered during his exciting life.

**José Antonio and the Spanish Falange (Rev. James K. Warner) £3.30.** The inspiring story about the short life and bloody death of one of Europe's most original thinkers.

**Land for 'The Chosen People' Racket, The (Major C.H. Douglas) £7.50.** How land is filched from the people by banks and government.

**Land and the People (Jorian Jenks) £3.30.** One of the founders of the Soil Association sets out his ideas for the revitalisation of Britain's agriculture. A pre-war Blackshirt publication.



**Land Rights and Birth Rights (Peter B. English) £12.00.** Exposes a great Australian hoax. An examination of the rights to ownership of former Aboriginal land.

**Leo Frank Case and the Murder of Mary Phagan, The (Dr. E.R. Fields) £3.30.** At last, an impartial examination of this horrible affair and the wickedness of those who, even today, attempt to hide the facts.

**Leon Degrelle and the Rexist Party, 1935-40 (Dr. E.R. Fields) £2.75.** The fascinating story of the life of one of the most awe-inspiring men in Belgian and European history.

**Leuchter Report, The (Fred Leuchter) £4.50.** A professional forensic examination of the alleged 'gas chambers' at Auschwitz.

**Lindbergh's Fight for America (Jim Peron) £2.10.** The activities of this famous aviator





regarding his activities for peace during the pre-war period are revealed.

**Mosley's Blackshirt Airmen (Keith Thompson) £3.00.** About aircraft-makers, airmen and war heroes.


**My Banned Holocaust Interview (Carlo Mattogno) £6.50.** A leading Italian history revisionist had his studies and conclusions banned. This is his work published privately.

**Nameless War, The (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £11.00.** A nationalist classic. The struggle for the soul of Europe and the White Race. About the hidden forces behind World War II and the identity of the real victors.

**Origins of the Second World War (A.J.P. Taylor) £9.75.** The classic work by this most respected historian, which began the trend towards revising many previously held views on the causes of World War II.

**Our Financial Masters (A. Raven Thompson) £3.90.** A pre-war look at finance and the reason why it must be controlled.

**Overcrowded Britain (Ashley Mote) £8.95.** An examination of the evil policies that have led to real Britons becoming a disadvantaged group within their own country and threatened with racial extinction.



**Palestine Catastrophe (Michael Palumbo) £9.00.** A book about the 1948 expulsion of a people from their homes and lands.

**Pawns in the Game (William Guy Carr) £14.95.** A classic conspiracy exposé.

**Peace Weavers and Shield Maidens (Kathleen Herbert) £4.95.** About women in early English society. One thousand years before the Normans came, British women were playing an important role in the making of our nation.

**Protocols and the Push for World Government, The (Admiral Sir Barry Domvile) £2.10.** An analysis of what the 'Protocols' forecast, compared with what has actually taken place.

**Real Cheats and Fraudsters, The (E. Morgan) £2.75.** How governments fleece the people out of their hard-earned cash.

**Rebirth of England and English (Fr. Andrew Phillips) £4.95.** The vision of the Dorset poet William Barnes (1801-1886). In search of our post-industrial age, the folk nationalist will learn much from this book.

**Rebuilding Russia (Alexander Solzenitsyn) £8.00.** Reflections and proposals from this talented and extraordinary man.

**Religious Attitudes of the Indo-Europeans (Prof. Hans Gunther) £7.95.** Translated by the late Vivian Bird. A study of the pre-Christian faiths of our people.

**Revisionist, The (Journal for Critical Historical Inquiry) £10.00 each.** The first and third volumes of the latest findings of researchers into the 'Holocaust' and the issues that surround it. A must for all those involved in 'Holocaust Studies'.

**Runes (J. Dees) £11.95.** An entertaining and instructive book on the meaning of these ancient symbols and the mythology of each individual sign. A beautiful production.

**Seeing Red, Being Green (Denis Hill) £10.50.** The autobiography of a working-class man who began as a communist and then, due to a process of logical deduction and experience, developed views not dissimilar to those of the BNP.

**Selections From BUF Quarterly (Ed. Dr. Fields) £10.00.** A fascinating collection of writings published circa 1935-40 by such personalities as Anne Brock-Griggs, Ezra Pound, Roy Campbell, Wyndham Lewis, A.K. Chesterton, Prof A.P. Laurie and others.

**Serpent's Walk (Randolph D. Calverhall) £7.95.** A fictional story of a revolution in 2049. This time, as the author says, "The Good Guys Win."

**Silver Saga, The (Fiona A. Philpott) £8.95.** Beautifully illustrated in colour, this book is a study of the arts and crafts of the Vikings. It concentrates upon the Viking treasures found in the North and West of Britain.

**Six Million Reconsidered (David McCalden) £8.95.** An illustrated history of 'holocausts' throughout the ages. 136 pages.

**Soviet Evidence of Katyn (Carlos Porter) £2.75.** A detailed exposure of the Soviet lies regarding the brutal Katyn killings and how the Germans were blamed.

**Stranger Than Fiction (Anon.) £6.00.** An amazing and detailed report of the 'hidden hand' behind the destruction of the World Trade Centre. Convincing and authenticated revelations and research.

**Tastes of Anglo-Saxon England (Mary Savelli) £4.95.** 46 recipes that will enable you to enjoy flavours widely known in Anglo-Saxon England but rarely experienced today.

**Taxation and the People (Sir Oswald Mosley) £2.50.** Proposals for a fairer system for all.

**Teddy Bare (Zad Rust) £11.00.** About the last of the Kennedy Brothers.


**Temple of Wotan (Ron McVan) £18.50.** The mysteries of antiquity and the ethnic spiritual myths of the Euro-Pagan world explained with the ceremonies and rituals of Wotanism.

**That Bastard Churchill (Major M.F. Thurgood) £5.60.** A blistering attack on the warlord Churchill and an exposure of his disastrous financial policies, vile temper, tragic wartime strategy, alcoholism and pet foibles.

**They Dare to Speak Out (Paul Findley) £16.95.** Many examples of how the Israeli lobby in the USA ruins politicians, academics and patriotic citizens who dare even to ask for debate on the Palestine question.

**Thinkers of the Right: Challenging Materialism (Kerry Bolton) £12.50.** Great writers and thinkers of the 20th century who supported the 'Radical Right'. Among the personalities covered are Evola, Marinetti, Williamson, Campbell, Yeats, Pound, Mishima and D.H. Lawrence.

**Torture (A Report from the CDL) £3.30.** A compilation of the evidence which shows that the United States Army used cruel and barbaric methods of torture upon its prisoners when the Second World War was over. A timely report subtitled 'From Germany to Iraq'.



**Towards World Government (Deirdre Manifold) £10.75.** A timely warning of the coming world dictatorship.

**Turner Diaries, The (Andrew McDonald) £9.50.** An exciting futuristic tale of the white backlash.

**Twins, Black and White (R. Travis Osborne) £14.95.** A detailed study of 496 pairs of black and white twins, ranging in age from 12 to 20, with the results.

**Tyr: Myth, Culture, Tradition (J. Buckley, C. Cleary and M. Moynihan) £12.50.** A publication that celebrates the traditional myths, cultures and social institutions of pre-Christian, pre-modern Europe. It stands for radical traditionalism, rejecting the materialist code of 'quantity over quality'.

**Under the Flat Stones (Ted Budden) £4.50.** Some of the best essays from this popular and humorous nationalist.

**Universal History of Numbers, The (George Ifrah) £19.95.** From prehistory to computer. The art and science of numeration, all the methods and many of the false starts.


**Usury (Hilaire Belloc) £2.25.** A concise explanation of usury and its inherent evil.

**Ventilations (Wilmot Robertson) £9.50.** A series of essays covering many aspects of racial nationalism and revealing great perception and knowledge of world events.

**Vikings (J. Wooding) £8.95.** About the lives, history, mythology and influence of our Norse ancestors.

**Western Intelligentsia and the Soviet Union (D. Heald) £4.00.** A selection of quotes praising Stalin and the red dictatorships in Eastern Europe by a range of liberal intellectuals. These are the words that their authors want forgotten.

**Which Way Western Man? (William Gayley Simpson) £28.00.** The stark facts of extinction and slavery or resurgence and rebirth for the white race are laid before the reader. Will we be hated forever by our descendants or can we rise to the greatest challenge that any generation has ever had to face?



**White Fang/Call of the Wild (Jack London) £5.50.** London's much acclaimed works which explore the kingdom of the wolf.

**White Power (George Lincoln Rockwell) £15.25.** One of those books never to be forgotten. The ship of state, the friends of the captain and the disaster!

**Who Holds the Balance? (K.J. Hury) £4.75.** About the subversion of Western Man by the masters of the media.


**Why Civilisations Self-Destruct (Elmer Pendell) £10.30.** An examination of the reasons why societies die out, with examples from ancient to modern times.

**Zionist Factor, The (Ivor Benson) £13.95.** Developments in the Middle East which threaten to draw all mankind into the catastrophe of another world war make it more urgently necessary than ever before to explore Zionism as one of the major forces shaping our world. This book provides one of the best guides on the subject.



## JANUARY ISSUE LATE

This is to warn our readers that the January issue will appear a few days later than usual. Our printers and print-finishers close down for the duration of the Christmas and New Year holidays, to open again after the latter. Expect your copy(ies) around the 7th January.



### CALLING ALL CHRISTIAN NATIONALISTS

Following the sad death of Graham John, the Identity Church of Great Britain is again ready to carry on the work of this great patriot. It is possible to reconcile your Christian faith with the racial nationalist message. Please write to us today to register your interest and to receive full details.

*The New Christian Crusade Church*  
221 Dorset House  
Duke Street  
Chelmsford  
Essex CM1 1TB

# GRAND NATIONALIST CHRISTMAS SOCIAL

**East Lancs.**

**Sunday, December 12th  
Beginning 12 noon**

This is an all-nationalist event to which all comers will be welcome, regardless of their party affiliation. Buffet will be provided.

**FOR INFORMATION RING  
07718 518357**

## The anonymous smear-mongers

Last month we received in the post a letter making extremely damaging allegations against one of our associates. Like nearly all letters of its kind, it bore no name or address. Let people be informed that letters like this coming from people too yellow to reveal themselves are treated with complete contempt and go straight into our wastepaper bin. If the writers have something to say, let them say it openly and honestly. Otherwise they should shut up.

## The Eleventh Hour

*The Eleventh Hour* has been described as the 'Bible' of the British National Party. First published in 1988, the latest (1998) edition has been updated to take in the many new developments on the political scene occurring since. Written by the founder of the British National Party, John Tyndall, the book gives comprehensive coverage of all the major current issues affecting Britain. It delves into the ideologies of liberalism, conservatism and the left, and also examines that of British Nationalism and its background. It contains extensive analyses of the British economy and political system. It looks at issues connected with freedom and order, the mass media, the environment, race and immigration, Northern Ireland, the Commonwealth, foreign policy and defence. It also gives a detailed outline of the development of the BNP and its predecessor organisations in Britain.

*The Eleventh Hour* runs to 537 pages. It is partly autobiographical, giving an account of the author's origins, early political awakening and subsequent life's work.

**Price: Hardback £25.00 plus £4.78 p&p; softback £17.50 plus £3.45 p&p. Please make out cheques to Albion Press and send to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT.**

## How to obtain *Spearhead*

Make sure of your regular copy of *Spearhead* by becoming a subscriber. Please fill in the form here and send it to us, together with payment, as directed. Please note also the rates for bulk-buyers.

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

ENCLOSED SUBSCRIPTION OF.....

PLUS DONATION (IF ANY) OF.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):-

British Isles £24.00 ☐; Overseas surface mail £27.00 ☐; Air mail Europe £29.20 ☐; Air mail elsewhere £35.60 ☐.


**PLEASE NOTE:** These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £5.50 is required in the case of cheques and money orders and £2.50 in the case of banknotes, these paying for banker's commission and charges.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies £1.10 each; 20-49 copies £1.00 each; 50-99 copies 83p each; 100-199 copies 69p each; 200-499 copies 60p each; 500 copies 55p each. For advice on postal rates for these quantities please contact our office.

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 2471, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 4DT. Please do not include payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

### JOHN TYNDALL



The book you must read if you want to find out what John Tyndall and the BNP stand for



# NW London meeting a success despite attempted obstruction

THE nationalist meeting advertised last month for Hillingdon, North West London, on the 7th November was a great success – despite the efforts of BNP Group Development and Regulation Officer Tony Lecomber to sabotage it. Mr. Lecomber tried threats to organiser Ian Edward on the grounds that he was breaking the law under the Data Protection Act by circularising people without authorisation, whose names had been taken from official BNP local membership lists. Ian thought this such a joke he made copies of the letter and had them handed out to attendees as they arrived at the meeting. Everyone enjoyed a huge laugh over this piece of ludicrous pomposity.

It was necessary for the meeting not to be billed as an official BNP event because of the current suspension of the Hillingdon branch by Mr. Lecomber. Hillingdon, under Ian Edward's leadership, has achieved excellent results in recent elections and is being depended upon to make an important contribution to the party's target of fielding 100 candidates in the coming general election (but because of this present ludicrous suspension, which also includes the suspension of Ian Edward himself) it is non-operational as a BNP unit.

About 65 were in attendance, despite Mr. Lecomber's efforts to discourage people from going. They heard speeches by Tess Culnane, Richard Edmonds and John Tyndall.

Tess Culnane, an ever-more popular speaker, gave an excellent address, speaking largely about the breakdown of law and order in our cities. She cited the case of the present Metropolitan Commissioner, who had acknowledged that these days London's police made it a policy to turn a blind eye to certain offences. When this happens, Tess said, a police chief ceases to be a guardian of the law and assumes himself to be the law.

Richard Edmonds made a powerful speech in which he made mention of the forthcoming 40th birthday of *Spearhead* magazine. He spoke of *Spearhead*'s key role in getting the BNP started. He praised its contribution to nationalist thinking in Britain over many years, and condemned utterly the decision of BNP chairman Nick Griffin to ban the sale of the magazine through the party.

After a pleasant interval for refreshments provided by lady activists June and Jo (June being the wife of Ian Edward), John Tyndall took the platform. After providing a brief



**RICHARD EDMONDS SPEAKS**  
Showing a copy of *Spearhead*, he paid tribute to our magazine's long record of service to nationalism.

explanation of his current suspension by the BNP leadership, he spoke of the war in Iraq, expressing his rage at the recent statement by Defence Secretary Geoff Hoon that he



**TESS CULNANE**  
She spoke of the collapse of law and order in London

could not understand public anger over the deaths of the three Black Watch soldiers there last week. He said that this Government regards fine young men like those of the Black Watch as expendable while Premier Blair drools and slobbers over every kind of young degenerate here at home. He spoke of Blair's absurd posture as a staunch opponent of terrorism and produced a photograph of Blair in friendly discussion with IRA apologists and one-time activists Gerry Adams and Martin McGuinness. We are in Iraq, he said, because Blair (like every British premier of the past 60 years) was the poodle of the American president, who in turn is the poodle of the Israeli lobby. He urged the need for the BNP, if it is to achieve its target of contesting 100 seats in the next general election, to function as a united party – which it could not do as long as branches and key individuals were being suspended, expelled, purged and banned from speaking. As a united movement, he said, we can win back our country – as everything is now going for us. But divided, he said, we are certain to suffer defeat.

Congratulations are due to Ian Edward for his excellent work in organising this meeting under circumstances of continual obstruction from Mr. Lecomber.

## MEETINGS IN LINCOLN AND NORTH BUCKS.

As advertised last month, two important meetings will be taking place in late November. A meeting is being held in **Lincoln** on November 27th, with John Tyndall and Peter Rushton speaking, organised by Albion Press. Then the following day there will be a *Spearhead* Group meeting in **Milton Keynes**, with a galaxy of nationalist speakers including our editor, Peter Rushton again, Richard Edmonds, Sharon Pastou and Eddy Morrison. These meetings are too late in the calendar to be reported in this issue but readers will be able to read about them in January.

## SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover full production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent,

Also, because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle the magazine. Hence another need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to *Spearhead*, PO Box 2471, Hove, East Sussex BN3 4DT. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested – in which case an SAE would be appreciated. Thanks.




# RECORDINGS – RECORDINGS – RECORDINGS

## Video and audio tapes featuring John Tyndall and other speakers




**Walsall North by-election meeting, September 1976.** Speeches by Charles Parker, Martin Webster, Andrew Fountaine and John Tyndall. **Audio CD.**




**John Tyndall addressing American patriots near Washington DC, 1991.** With an introduction by Dr. William Pierce, founder of the National Alliance. **Audio CD.**




**East London meeting, March 2003.** Addressed by Richard Edmonds, Chris Roberts and John Tyndall. **Video.**



**Slough meeting, 1977.** John Tyndall speaking at a highly successful and memorable National Front meeting in Slough, Bucks, in 1977. **Audio CD.**




**Ruislip meeting, February 2004.** Great BNP meeting with speeches by Tess Culnane, Tony Wentworth, Peter Shaw and John Tyndall. **Video.**




**National Front St. George's Day meeting, Wood Green, North London, April 1977.** Speeches by Andrew Fountaine, Sqn. Ldr. John Harrison-Broadley, Martin Webster, Ron Davison, John Roberts and John Tyndall. Meeting followed march and red riot. **Audio CD.**



**Leicester and Islington National Front election meetings, 1979.** JT speaking at two great rallies which red troublemakers tried to disrupt. **Audio CD.**



**Cheshunt, April 2002.** John Tyndall speaking at BNP gathering in Cheshunt, Herts. **Audio CD.**



**John Tyndall live in New Orleans, May 2004.** JT on cracking form addressing American patriots. **Audio CD.**



**Spearhead Group meeting, Leeds, July 2004.** Speeches by Tony Braithwaite, Peter Rushton, Eddy Morrison and John Tyndall. **Video.**

**Tyr Services are pleased to present 10 items of recordings which should be of great interest to all nationalists. All items cost just £10.00 each, including postage and packing. Alternatively, you can choose any three items to order together for just £23.00. Please make cheques or postal orders out to TYR SERVICES and send to BCM Tyr, London WC1N 3XX, UK.**